

Classification of Irreducible integrable modules for toroidal Lie-algebras with finite dimensional weight spaces

S. Eswara Rao

Dedicated to Professor P. Somaraju with admiration and gratitude.

The study of $\text{Maps}(X, G)$, the group of polynomial maps of a complex algebraic variety X into a complex algebraic group G , and its representations is only well developed in the case that X is a complex torus $\mathbb{C}^*$. In this case $\text{Maps}(X, G)$ is a loop group and the corresponding Lie-algebra $\text{Maps}(X, \mathring{\mathcal{G}})$ is the loop algebra $\mathbb{C}[t, t^{-1}] \otimes \mathring{\mathcal{G}}$. Here the representation comes to life only after one replaces $\text{Maps}(X, \mathring{\mathcal{G}})$ by its universal central extension, the corresponding affine Lie-algebra. One then obtains the well known theory of highest weight modules, vertex representations, modular forms and character theory and so on.

The next easiest case is presumably the case of n dimensional torus $(\mathbb{C}^*)^n$. So we consider the universal central extension of $\mathring{\mathcal{G}} \otimes \mathbb{C}[t_1^\pm, \dots, t_n^\pm]$ which is referred to as the toroidal Lie-algebra τ in [EM] and [MEY]. The most interesting modules are the integrable modules (where the real root space acts locally nilpotently (see section 2)), as they lift to the corresponding group. Unlike the affine case where the central extension is one dimensional, the toroidal case has infinite dimensional centre which makes the theory more complicated. For the first time a large number of integrable (reducible) modules for toroidal Lie-algebras (simply laced case) have been constructed through the use of vertex operators in [EM] and [MEY].

In this paper we construct two classes of (Examples (4.1) and (4.2)) of irreducible integrable modules for toroidal Lie-algebras with finite dimensional

weight spaces. In sections 4 and 5 we prove that any irreducible integrable module where part of the centre acts non-trivially are the ones given in Example (4.2) upto an automorphism of τ . We have proved in [E3] that the only modules with the above property where center acts trivially are the one given in Example 4.1 (see Remark 5.5). In this case a similar classification is obtained in [YY] with a stronger assumption on the weight spaces. These results in the case $n = 1$ are due to [C] and [CP].

In section 1, after recalling the construction of non-twisted affine Lie-algebras from [K], we establish the necessary terminology for root systems, non-degenerate bilinear form and the Weyl group for toroidal Lie-algebras. In section 2 we recall the actual definition of toroidal Lie-algebras and integrable modules and prove some standard facts about the Weyl group and weight systems (Lemma 2.3). Then we prove that an irreducible integrable module for τ with finite dimensional weight spaces has a highest weight vector in the following sense. Let $\mathcal{G}_{af} = \mathring{\mathcal{G}} \otimes \mathbb{C}[t_1, t_1^{-1}] \oplus \mathbb{C}C_1 \oplus \mathbb{C}d_1$ be an affine Lie-algebra and let $\mathcal{G}_{af} = N^- \oplus h^1 \oplus N^+$ be the standard decomposition. Then we prove that if some zero degree central operator acts non-trivially there exists a vector killed by $N^+ \otimes A_{n-1}$ (Proposition (2.4) and (4.8) after twisting the module upto an automorphism). Let $\mathring{\mathcal{G}} = n^- \oplus h^\circ \oplus n^+$ and suppose all zero degree central operators act trivially then we prove that there is a vector killed by $n^+ \otimes A_n$ (Proposition 2.12). These two results may be seen as generalization of Theorem (2.4) of [C].

In the section 3 we define graded and non-graded highest weight modules in the generality of loop Kac-Moody Lie-algebras and prove (Proposition 3.5) that there is a one-one correspondence between the graded and non-graded cases. The problem now reduces to the classification of irreducible integrable highest weight modules (non-graded) (Lemma 3.6). We prove (Remark 3.9) that any such module is actually a module for $\mathcal{G}_{af} \otimes A_{n-1}/I$ for a co-finite ideal I . (we are in the case where some zero degree center acts non-trivially).

In a combinatorial Lemma (3.11) which is of independent interest we prove that such a Lie-algebra is isomorphic to $\oplus \mathcal{G}_{af}$ (direct sum of finitely many copies of $\mathcal{G}_{af}$). Then it is very standard to classify irreducible integrable highest weight modules for $\oplus \mathcal{G}_{af}$.

If the zero degree center acts trivially then the full center should act trivially (Proposition 4.13) where we use an interesting result (Proposition 4.12) on Heisenberg Lie-algebras due to Futorny [F]. In this case the classification is given in [E3] (see Remark 5.5).

We prove in Lemma (4.6) in the generality of irreducible modules for τ with finite dimensional weight spaces that most of the center acts trivially. In fact in each graded component of the center at most one dimensional space acts non-trivially.

In other papers [BB] and [E4] a more general toroidal Lie-algebra is considered by adding an infinite set of derivations. They have constructed integrable irreducible (highest weight) modules for toroidal Lie-algebra where almost all of the “center” acts non-trivially. It remains to be seen which toroidal Lie-algebra admits an interesting representation theory in general. More general Lie-algebras called extended affine Lie-algebras (EALA), of which toroidal Lie-algebras are prime examples, are studied extensively. See for example [BGK] and the references therein.

1 Section

We first recall the some notation of the theory of affine Lie-algebras from chapter 6 of [K]. We always denote $\mathbb{N}$ the non-negative integers, $\mathbb{Z}$ the integers and $\mathbb{Z}_+$ the positive integers. All our vector spaces are over the complex numbers $\mathbb{C}$.

Let $\mathring{\mathcal{G}}$ be a simple finite dimensional Lie-algebra. Let $\mathring{h}$ be a Cartan subalgebra of finite dimension d . Let $A = (a_{ij})$ be the Cartan matrix of

the corresponding non-twisted affine Lie-algebra. Let $a_0, a_1, \dots, a_d$ be the numerical labels of the Dynkin diagram $S(A)$. Let $a_0^\vee, \dots, a_d^\vee$ be the numerical labels of the Dynkin diagram $S(A^T)$. Let $b_i^{-1} = a_i^\vee$. We know from [K] that for a non-twisted affine Lie-algebra that $a_0 = b_0 = 1$. Write $A = \text{Diag}(a_0 b_0, a_1 b_1, \dots, a_d b_d) B$ where B is a symmetric matrix. Let h be the Cartan subalgebra spanned by $\alpha_0^\vee, \alpha_1^\vee, \dots, \alpha_d^\vee, d_0$ such that $\overset{\circ}{h}$ is spanned by $\alpha_1^\vee, \dots, \alpha_d^\vee$. Define $\alpha_i \in h^*$ by $\alpha_i(\alpha_j^\vee) = a_{ji}, \alpha_i(d_0) = 0$ for $1 \leq i \leq d$ and $\alpha_0(d_0) = 1$. Define w in h^* such that $w(\alpha_i^\vee) = \delta_{i0}$ and $w(d_0) = 0$. Then h^* is spanned by $\alpha_0, \alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_d, w$ for dimension reasons. Define nondegenerate symmetric bilinear form on h by $(\alpha_i^\vee, \alpha_j^\vee) = a_j b_j a_{ij}, (\alpha_i^\vee, d_0) = \delta_{i0}$ and $(d_0, d_0) = 0$. Similarly h^* carries a bilinear form such that $(\alpha_i, \alpha_j) = b_i^{-1} a_i^{-1} a_{ij}, (\alpha_i, w) = \delta_{i0}$ and $(w, w) = 0$. As in [K] we normalize the form on h^* by $(\beta, \beta) = 2$ where β is the maximal root of $\overset{\circ}{\mathcal{G}}$. Define

$$\begin{aligned} C &= \sum_{i=1}^d b_i^{-1} \alpha_i^\vee + \alpha_0^\vee \\ \delta &= \sum_{i=1}^d a_i \alpha_i + \alpha_0 \end{aligned}$$

Then $\delta - \alpha_0 = \beta$. Let $\beta^\vee = C - \alpha_0^\vee$. From [K] we have the following.

$$(\delta, \alpha_i) = 0, (\delta, \delta) = 0, (\delta, w) = 1, (C, \alpha_i^\vee) = 0, (C, C) = 0, (C, d_0) = 1, \delta(\alpha_i^\vee) = 0, \alpha_i(C) = 0 \text{ and } w(C) = 1.$$

(1.1) Lemma For $\lambda \in h^*$

$$\begin{aligned} (1) \quad (\lambda, \alpha_i) &= b_i^{-1} a_i^{-1} \lambda(\alpha_i^\vee), 0 \leq i \leq d \\ (2) \quad (\lambda, \beta) &= \lambda(\beta^\vee) \end{aligned}$$

Proof: It is easy to see.

Define the i th fundamental reflection r_i on h^* such that $r_i \lambda = \lambda - \lambda(\alpha_i^\vee) \alpha_i, 0 \leq i \leq d$. Let W_{af} be the Weyl group generated by r_i . Then $(\cdot)$ on h^* is W_{af} -invariant.

We need the following simple lemma. Let $\overset{0}{\Lambda}$ be the root lattice of $\overset{\circ}{\mathcal{G}}$ spanned by $\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \dots, \alpha_d$. For $\lambda, \mu \in \overset{\circ}{h}^*$ define $\lambda \overset{\circ}{\leq} \mu$ if $\mu - \lambda = \sum_{i=1}^d n_i \alpha_i$ for $n_i \in \mathbb{N}$. Let $\overset{0+}{\Lambda}$ be the set of weights in $\overset{\circ}{h}^*$ such that $\lambda(\alpha_i^\vee) \in \mathbb{N}$ for $1 \leq i \leq d$. A weight $\lambda \in \overset{0+}{\Lambda}$ is called miniscule if $\mu \overset{\circ}{\leq} \lambda, \mu \in \overset{0+}{\Lambda}$ implies $\mu = \lambda$.

(1.2) Lemma Let $\lambda \in \overset{\circ}{h}^*$ be miniscule then $\lambda(\beta^\vee) = 0$ or 1 .

Proof Follows from exercise 13 of Chapter III of [H]. Just note that λ is dominant integral.

We will now generalize this for toroidal algebras ([EM], [MEY]). Fix a positive integer n . Let $\underline{h}$ be the $2n + d$ dimensional vector space spanned by $\alpha_1^\vee, \alpha_2^\vee, \dots, \alpha_{d+n}^\vee, d_1, \dots, d_n$. Let $\tilde{A} = (A_{ij})$ be a matrix of order $n+d$ such that removal of $n-1$ rows and the corresponding columns in the last n rows and n columns should give A . We will describe the matrix explicitly. Recall that $A = (a_{i,j})_{0 \leq i, j \leq d}$ is the affine matrix. Then $A_{d+i,j} = a_{0,j}, 1 \leq i \leq n, 1 \leq j \leq d$; $A_{j,d+i} = a_{j,0}, 1 \leq i \leq n, 1 \leq j \leq d$; $A_{d+i,d+j} = 2, 1 \leq i, j \leq n$. Let $\tilde{D} = \text{diag}(a_1 b_1, a_2 b_2, \dots, a_d b_d, 1, \dots, 1)$ be a matrix of order $d+n$. Then $\tilde{A} = \tilde{D} \tilde{B}$ where $\tilde{B}$ is symmetric matrix.

Define $\alpha_i \in \underline{h}^*$ such that $\alpha_i(\alpha_j^\vee) = a_{ji}$ for $1 \leq i, j \leq d+n, \alpha_i(d_j) = 0$ for $1 \leq i \leq d, 1 \leq j \leq n, \alpha_{d+i}(d_j) = \delta_{ij}$ for $1 \leq i, j \leq n$. Define $w_i \in \underline{h}^* (1 \leq i \leq n)$ by $w_i(\alpha_j^\vee) = 0$ for $1 \leq j \leq d$ and $w_i(\alpha_{j+d}^\vee) = \delta_{ij}$ for $1 \leq j \leq n, w_j(d_i) = 0$. Then we will see that $\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_{d+n}, w_1, \dots, w_n$ is a basis of $\underline{h}^*$. Let $a_{d+i} = b_{d+j} = 1$ for $1 \leq i, j \leq n$. Define a symmetric bilinear form $\underline{h}^*$ by $(\alpha_i, \alpha_j) = b_i^{-1} a_i^{-1} a_{ij}, (\alpha_i, w_j) = 0, 1 \leq i \leq d, (\alpha_{d+i}, w_j) = \delta_{ij}$ for $1 \leq i, j \leq n, (w_i, w_j) = 0$.

Define for $1 \leq j \leq n$

$$\begin{aligned} \delta_j &= \sum_{i=1}^d a_i \alpha_i + \alpha_{d+j} = \beta + \alpha_{j+d} \\ C_j &= \sum_{i=1}^d b_i^{-1} \alpha_i^\vee + \alpha_{d+j}^\vee = \beta^\vee + \alpha_{j+d}^\vee. \end{aligned}$$

As in the affine case we have the following $(\alpha_i, \delta_j) = 0, (\delta_i, \delta_j) = 0, (\alpha_i^\vee, C_j) = 0, (C_i, C_j) = 0, \delta_i(\alpha_i^\vee) = 0, \delta_j(C_i) = 0, \alpha_i(C_j) = 0, \delta_j(d_i) = \alpha_{d+j}(d_i) = \delta_{ij}$ and $w_i(C_j) = \delta_{ij}$. For $\underline{m} = (m_1, \dots, m_n) \in \mathbb{Z}^n$ define $\delta_{\underline{m}} = \sum m_i \delta_i$ and note that $(\delta_{\underline{m}}, \delta_{\underline{m}}) = 0$. $\delta_{\underline{m}}$ are called null roots.

(1.3) Root and Co-roots. Let $\overset{\circ}{\Delta}$ be the finite root system. Let $\Delta = \{\alpha + \delta \mid \alpha \in \overset{\circ}{\Delta} \cup \{0\}, \delta \text{ null root}\}$
 $\gamma \in \Delta$ is called real root if $(\gamma, \gamma) \neq 0$. For $\alpha \in \overset{\circ}{\Delta}$ define co-root

$$\alpha^\vee = \sum m_i \frac{|\alpha_i|^2}{|\alpha|^2} \alpha_i^\vee \text{ for } \alpha = \sum_{i=1}^d m_i \alpha_i, \alpha \in \overset{\circ}{\Delta}$$

For $\gamma = \alpha + \delta_{\underline{m}}, \alpha \in \overset{\circ}{\Delta}$ Define $\gamma^\vee = \alpha^\vee + \frac{2}{|\alpha|^2} \sum m_j C_j$.

(1.4) Lemma For $\lambda \in \underline{h}^*$

$$\begin{aligned} (1) \quad (\lambda, \alpha_i) &= b_i^{-1} a_i^{-1} \lambda(\alpha_i^\vee), 0 \leq i \leq d+n \\ (2) \quad (\lambda, \alpha) &= \frac{|\alpha|^2}{2} \lambda(\alpha^\vee), \alpha \in \Delta^{re} \end{aligned}$$

(1.5) Weyl Group For a real root γ define a reflection r_γ on $\underline{h}^*$ by

$$r_\gamma(\lambda) = \lambda - \lambda(\gamma^\vee) \gamma.$$

From above one can see that it is a reflection and $(\cdot, \cdot)$ is W -invariant where W is the Weyl group generated by r_γ, γ real.

Now the action of the linear functionals $\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_d, \delta_1, \dots, \delta_n, w_1, w_2, \dots, w_n$ on the basis $\alpha_1^\vee, \dots, \alpha_d^\vee, C_1, \dots, C_n, d_1, \dots, d_n$ is given by the matrix.

$$\begin{pmatrix} \overset{\circ}{A} & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & I \\ 0 & I & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

which is invertible. Here $\overset{\circ}{A}$ is the finite Cartan matrix. In particular the linear functions are a basis of $\underline{h}^*$.

2 Section ([EM], [MEY]) Let $\overset{\circ}{\mathcal{G}}$ be finite dimensional simple Lie-algebra. Let $n \geq 2$ be a positive integer. Let $A = A_n = \mathbb{C}[t_1^\pm, \dots, t_n^\pm]$ be a Laurent polynomial in n commuting variables. For $\underline{m} = (m_1, \dots, m_n) \in \mathbb{Z}^n$ let $t^{\underline{m}} = t_1^{m_1} \dots t_n^{m_n}$. For any vector space V let $V_A = V \otimes A$ and let $v(\underline{m}) = v \otimes t^{\underline{m}}$ for $v \in V$. Let $\mathcal{Z} = \Omega_A/d_A$ be the module of differentials. That is $\mathcal{Z}$ is spanned by vectors $t^{\underline{m}}K_i, \leq i \leq n, \underline{m} \in \mathbb{Z}^n$ by the relation.

$$(2.1) \quad \sum m_i t^{\underline{m}} K_i = 0$$

Let $\tau = \overset{\circ}{\mathcal{G}}_A \oplus \mathcal{Z} \oplus D$ where D is a linear span of $d_1, \dots, d_n$. We will now define Lie structure on τ called toroidal Lie-algebra

(2.2) (1) $[X(\underline{r}), Y(\underline{s})] = [X, Y](\underline{r} + \underline{s}) + (X, Y)d(t^{\underline{r}})t^{\underline{s}}$ where $d(t^{\underline{r}})t^{\underline{s}} = \sum r_i t^{\underline{r}+\underline{s}} K_i$ and $(,)$ is non-degenerate $\overset{\circ}{\mathcal{G}}$ -invariant symmetric bilinear form on $\overset{\circ}{\mathcal{G}}$ whose restriction to $\overset{\circ}{h}$ is given in earlier section.

(2) $\mathcal{Z}$ is central in $\overset{\circ}{\mathcal{G}}_A \oplus \mathcal{Z}$

(3) $[d_i, X(\underline{r})] = r_i X(\underline{r})$

(4) $[d_i, t^{\underline{m}} K_j] = m_i t^{\underline{m}} K_j$.

Let $\underline{h}$ be the span of $\overset{\circ}{h}$ and $K_1, \dots, K_n, d_1, \dots, d_n$. Clearly $\underline{h}$ can be identified with $\underline{h}$ defined in section 1 with $K_i = C_i$ for $1 \leq i \leq n$. Let $\overset{\circ}{\mathcal{G}} = \bigoplus_{\alpha \in \overset{\circ}{\Delta} \cup \{0\}} \overset{\circ}{\mathcal{G}}_\alpha$ be the root space decomposition. Define

$$\begin{aligned} \tau_{\alpha+\delta_{\underline{m}}} &= \overset{\circ}{\mathcal{G}}_\alpha \otimes t^{\underline{m}}, \alpha \in \overset{\circ}{\Delta} \\ \tau_{\delta_{\underline{m}}} &= \overset{\circ}{h} \otimes t^{\underline{m}} \oplus \sum_{i=1}^n \mathbb{C} t^{\underline{m}} K_i, \underline{m} \neq 0 \\ \tau_0 &= \underline{h} \end{aligned}$$

Then clearly $\tau = \bigoplus_{\alpha \in \Delta} \tau_\alpha$ is the root space decomposition with respect to $\underline{h}$ and consistent with the root system Δ defined in section 1. For $\alpha \in \overset{\circ}{\Delta}$ let X_α be the root vector of root α .

Definiton A module V of τ is called integrable if

1) (weight module) $V = \oplus_{\lambda \in \underline{h}^*} V_\lambda$

$$\text{where } V_\lambda = \{v \in V \mid hv = \lambda(h)v, \forall h \in \underline{h}\}$$

2) for all $\alpha \in \overset{\circ}{\Delta}, \underline{m} \in \mathbb{Z}^n$ and $v \in V$ there exists an integer $k = k(\alpha, m, v)$ such that $(X_\alpha(\underline{m}))^k v = 0$.

For a weight module V let $P(V)$ denote the set of all weights. The following is very standard.

(2.3) Lemma Let V be irreducible integrable module for τ with finite dimensional weight spaces.

- (1) $P(V)$ is W -invariant
- (2) $\dim V_\lambda = \dim V_{w\lambda}$ for $w \in W, \lambda \in P(V)$
- (3) α real in $\Delta, \lambda \in P(V)$ then $\lambda(\alpha^\vee) \in \mathbb{Z}$
- (4) If α is real, $\lambda \in P(V)$ and $\lambda(\alpha^\vee) > 0$ then $\lambda - \alpha \in P(V)$.
- (5) $\lambda(C_i)$ is a constant (integer) $\forall \lambda \in P(V)$.

Proof Let $\alpha \in \overset{\circ}{\Delta}$ and let $\alpha = \sum_{j=1}^d c_j \alpha_j$. Define $t_\alpha = \sum c_j \frac{|\alpha_j|^2}{2} \alpha_j^\vee$ so that $\alpha^\vee = \frac{2t_\alpha}{|\alpha|^2}$. One can easily check that $(t_\alpha, t_\alpha) = (\alpha, \alpha)$ and that $\alpha(h) = (t_\alpha, h)$ where t_α is the unique with that property because the form $(\cdot, \cdot)$ on $\overset{\circ}{h}$ is nondegenerate. Fix $X_\alpha \in \overset{\circ}{\mathcal{G}}_\alpha$ and choose $Y_\alpha \in \overset{\circ}{\mathcal{G}}_{-\alpha}$ such that $(X_\alpha, Y_\alpha) = \frac{2}{(t_\alpha, t_\alpha)}$.

Claim $[X_\alpha, Y_\alpha] = \alpha^\vee$. Consider $(h, [X_\alpha, Y_\alpha]) = \alpha(h)(X_\alpha, Y_\alpha) = \alpha(h) \frac{2}{(t_\alpha, t_\alpha)}$. By the uniqueness of t_α the claim follows.

Now $[\alpha^\vee, X_\alpha] = \alpha(\alpha^\vee)X_\alpha = 2X_\alpha$, $[\alpha^\vee, Y_\alpha] = -2Y_\alpha$. Thus $X_\alpha, Y_\alpha, \alpha^\vee$ is an sl_2 copy. Consider $\gamma = \alpha + \delta_{\underline{m}}$ and recall the definition of $\gamma^\vee = \alpha^\vee + \frac{2}{|\alpha|^2} \sum m_j C_j$. Consider $[X_\alpha \otimes t^{\underline{m}}, Y_\alpha \otimes t^{-\underline{m}}]$,

$$\begin{aligned} &= \alpha^\vee + (X_\alpha, Y_\alpha) \sum m_i K_i \\ &= \alpha^\vee + \frac{2}{|\alpha|^2} \sum m_i K_i = \gamma^\vee \\ [\gamma^\vee, X_\alpha \otimes t^{\underline{m}}] &= 2X_\alpha \otimes t^{\underline{m}} \\ [\gamma^\vee, Y_\alpha \otimes t^{-\underline{m}}] &= -2Y_\alpha \otimes t^{-\underline{m}} \end{aligned}$$

Thus $X_\alpha \otimes t^{\underline{m}}, Y_\alpha \otimes t^{-\underline{m}}, \gamma^\vee$ is an affine sl_2 .

Now 2, 3 and 4 follows from standard sl_2 -theory representation. Since C_i is integer linear combination of $\alpha_j^\vee$ it follows that $\lambda(C_i)$ is an integer. The fact that $\lambda(C_i)$ is constant follows from Lemma 4.3 (2). [QED].

Consider the affine Lie-algebra $\mathcal{G}_{af} = \mathring{\mathcal{G}} \otimes \mathbb{C}[t_1, t_1^{-1}] \oplus \mathbb{C}C_1 \oplus \mathbb{C}d_1$. Let $\mathcal{G}_{af} = N^- \oplus h_1^1 \oplus N^+$ be the standard decomposition into positive root spaces, negative root spaces and a Cartan h_1^1 spanned by $\alpha_1^\vee, \dots, \alpha_d^\vee, \alpha_{d+1}^\vee, d_1$.

(2.4) Proposition Let V be irreducible integrable module for τ . Assume that C_1 acts by non-zero K_1 and C_i , $2 \leq i \leq n$ acts trivially. Then there exists a weight vector v in V such that

$$N^+ \otimes A_{n-1}v = 0 \text{ or } N^- \otimes A_{n-1}v = 0$$

where $A_{n-1} = \mathbb{C}[t_2^\pm, \dots, t_n^\pm]$ and

$$N^+ \otimes A_{n-1} = \bigoplus_{\substack{m_1 \geq 0 \text{ if } \alpha \in \mathring{\Delta}^+ \\ \text{and } m_1 > 0 \text{ if } \alpha \in \mathring{\Delta}^- \cup \{0\}}} \mathcal{G}_\alpha \otimes t_1^{m_1} t^{\underline{m}}$$

$$\underline{m} = (m_2, \dots, m_n) \in \mathbb{Z}^{n-1}$$

We need some notation and few lemmas for this. Let $\lambda \in P(V)$ then λ can be uniquely written as

$$\begin{aligned}\lambda &= \bar{\lambda} + \sum g_i \delta_i + \sum s_i w_i \\ \text{where } \bar{\lambda} &= \sum_{i=1}^d m_i \alpha_i \in \overset{\circ}{h}^* . \\ \text{Now for } 2 \leq i \leq n. \\ 0 &= \lambda(C_i) = s_i w_i(C_i) = s_i . \\ K_1 &= \lambda(C_1) = s_1 w_1(C_1) = s_1\end{aligned}$$

(2.5) Thus $\lambda = \bar{\lambda} + \sum g_i \delta_i + K_1 w_1$.

Let Γ_0^+ be non-negative integral linear combination of $\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_d$.

(2.6) **Lemma** Let V be a module satisfying the conditions of the above proposition. Then there exists $\lambda \in P(V)$ such that $\lambda + \eta \notin P(V), \forall \eta \in \Gamma_0^+ \setminus \{0\}$ where $(\lambda + \eta)(d_i) = \lambda(d_i) = \lambda_1(d_i)$ for fixed $\lambda_1 \in P(V)$.

Proof Let $\lambda_1 \in P(V)$, let $\lambda_1(d_i) = g_i$ let $\underline{g} = (g_1, \dots, g_n)$ and let

$$V_{\underline{g}} = \{v \in V; d_i v = g_i v\}.$$

Let $P_{\underline{g}}(V) = \{\lambda \in P(V); V_{\lambda} \subseteq V_{\underline{g}}\}$. Then from (2.5) for $\lambda \in P_{\underline{g}}(V)$ we have

$$\lambda = \bar{\lambda} + \sum g_i \delta_i + K_1 w_1.$$

Further $\lambda|_{\overset{\circ}{h}} = \bar{\lambda}$. So if $\lambda, \mu \in P_{\underline{g}}(V)$ such that $\lambda \neq \mu$ then $\bar{\lambda} \neq \bar{\mu}$. Now $V_{\underline{g}}$ is an integrable $\overset{\circ}{\mathcal{G}}$ -module with finite dimensional weight spaces (with respect to $\overset{\circ}{h}$). Hence

$$V_{\underline{g}} = \bigoplus_{\bar{\lambda} \in \overset{\circ}{h}^*} V(\bar{\lambda}) \text{ where } V(\bar{\lambda})$$

is an irreducible finite dimensional module for $\overset{\circ}{\mathcal{G}}$. For $\lambda, \mu \in P_{\underline{g}}(V)$ we have

$\lambda - \mu = \sum_{i=1}^d m_i \alpha_i$. Since V is irreducible it follows that m_i 's are integers. So

that λ 's that occur in $P_{\underline{g}}(V)$ determine a unique coset in the weight lattice of $\mathring{\mathcal{G}}$ modulo its root lattice. Let $\lambda_0 \in \mathring{h}^*$ be the unique miniscule weight (see Ex 13 of chapter III of [H]). Then by Lemma B, 13.4 of [H] it follows then λ_0 is a weight of $V(\bar{\lambda})$ that occur in $V_{\underline{g}}$. Since each weight space is finite dimensional the number of $V(\bar{\lambda})$ that occur in $V_{\underline{g}}$ has to be finite. Thus $P_{\underline{g}}(V)$ is finite. Let λ be maximal with the ordering $\leq_{\circ}$. Then $\lambda + \eta \notin P(V)$ for any $\eta \in \Gamma_+^0 \setminus \{0\}$. Just note that if $\lambda + \eta \in P(V)$ then $\lambda + \eta \in P_{\underline{g}}(V)$. Further $(\lambda + \eta)(d_i) = g_i = \lambda(d_i)$. [QED].

(2.7) Now we will define a different ordering $\leq$ on $\underline{h}^*$ by $\lambda \leq \mu$ if $\mu - \lambda = \sum_{i=1}^{d+1} n_i \alpha_i, n_i \in \mathbb{N}$. Thus if we say $\lambda \geq 0$ then $\lambda = \sum_{i=1}^{d+1} n_i \alpha_i, n_i \in \mathbb{N}$.

(2.8) Lemma Let V be as in the above proposition with additional assumptions that $K_1 > 0$. Suppose for all $\lambda \in P(V)$ there exists $0 \leq \eta \neq 0$ such that $\lambda + \eta \in P(V)$. Then there exists infinitely many $\lambda_i \in P(V), i \in \mathbb{Z}_+$ such that

- (1) There exists a weight vector u_i of weight λ_i such that $N^+ u_i = 0$.
- (2) $\lambda_i(d_j) = \lambda_k(d_j) \forall i, k \in \mathbb{Z}_+$ and $2 \leq j \leq n$
- (3) $\lambda_i(d_1) - \lambda_{i-1}(d_1) \in \mathbb{Z}_+$ for $i \geq 2$.
- (4) There exists a common weight in $V(\lambda_i) \forall i$ where $V(\lambda_i)$ is the irreducible highest module generated by u_i .

Proof Let λ be an in Lemma 2.6. Note that $\lambda(\alpha_i^\vee) \in \mathbb{N}$ for $i = 1, 2, \dots, d$. Follows from Lemma 2.3(3). Recall that $\mathring{\Delta}$ is finite root system. Let $\Delta_{re}^{+a} = \{\alpha + m\delta_1, \alpha \in \mathring{\Delta}, n \geq 0 \text{ and } \alpha \in \mathring{\Delta}^-, n > 0\}$. Let $\Delta(\lambda) = \{\gamma \in \Delta_{re}^{+a}; \lambda(\gamma^\vee) \leq 0\}$. Since $\lambda(C_1) = K_1 > 0$ it is easy to see that $\Delta(\gamma)$ is finite. This situation is very similar to the proof of Theorem 2.4 (i) of [C]. (Here we need our

Lemma 2.6 as the arguments of Lemma 2.6 of [C] are not correct. The 6th line from above on page 322 does not follow from earlier argument). Now from the claims 1,2 and 3 in the proof of 2.4 (i) of [C] we have a vector v in $V_{\lambda+p_1\delta_1}$, $p_1 \geq 0$ such that

$$\tau_{r\delta_1} v = 0, r > 0$$

$$\tau_{\alpha+s\delta_1} v = 0$$

for all but finitely many roots $\alpha + s\delta_1$ in Δ_{re}^{+a} . Since V is integrable it follows that $U(N^+)v$ is finite dimensional. Choose λ_1 maximal in the ordering $\leq$ among the weights in $U(N^+)v$. Then there exists a vector u_1 of weight λ_1 such that

$$N^+u_1 = 0.$$

Further $\lambda_1 = \lambda + p_1\delta_1 + \eta_1$, $\eta_1 \geq 0$ and $\lambda_1(d_j) = \lambda(d_j)$ for $2 \leq j \leq n$ and

$$\lambda_1(d_1) - \lambda(d_1) = p_1 + \eta_1(d_1) \geq 0.$$

Now by Lemma 2.6 there exists $\tilde{\lambda}_1 \in P(V)$ such that

(2.9) $V_{\tilde{\lambda}_1+\eta} = 0 \quad \forall \eta \in \Gamma_0^+ \setminus \{0\}$ and $\tilde{\lambda}_1(d_i) = \lambda_1(d_i)$ $1 \leq i \leq n$. By the assumption in the Lemma there exists $\tilde{\eta}_1 > 0$ such that

$$\tilde{\lambda}_1 + \tilde{\eta}_1 \in P(V).$$

By (2.9) it follows that $\tilde{\eta}_1(d_1) > 0$. As $\tilde{\eta}_1 \geq 0$ and we also have $\tilde{\eta}_1(d_i) = 0$ for $2 \leq i \leq n$. Repeating the above argument for $\tilde{\lambda}_1 + \tilde{\eta}_1$ in place of λ to get a weight vector u_2 of weight $\lambda_2 = \tilde{\lambda}_1 + \tilde{\eta}_1 + p_2\delta_1 + \eta_2$, $\eta_2 \geq 0$ such that $N^+u_2 = 0$. Now $\lambda_2(d_j) = \tilde{\lambda}_1(d_j) = \lambda(d_j)$ for $2 \leq j \leq n$.

$$\lambda_2(d_1) = \lambda_1(d_1) + p_2 + \eta_2(d_1) + \tilde{\eta}_1(d_1)$$

so that $\lambda_2(d_1) - \lambda_1(d_1) = p_2 + \eta_2(d_1) + \tilde{\eta}_1(d_1) > 0$. (Note the strict inequality). Repeating this process we have (1), (2) and (3). Clearly $\lambda_i(\alpha_j^\vee) \in \mathbb{N}$ $1 \leq$

$j \leq 1 + d$. By irreducibility of V we have

$$\bar{\lambda}_i - \bar{\lambda}_j = \sum_{i=1}^d m_i \alpha_i \text{ for } m_i \in \mathbb{Z}.$$

Then $\bar{\lambda}_i$ s determine unique coset of weight lattice. As earlier let $\bar{\lambda}_0 \in \check{h}^{\circ*}$ be the unique miniscule weight. Thus $\bar{\lambda}_0 \leq \bar{\lambda}_i \forall i \in \mathbb{Z}$. (Note that each $\bar{\lambda}_i$ is dominant integral weight).

Let $\lambda_0 = \bar{\lambda}_0 + \sum_{i=1}^d \lambda(d_i) \delta_i + K_1 w_1 \leq \lambda_i$. This inequality is by construction of λ_i . Recall $\lambda_i = \bar{\lambda}_i + \lambda_i(d_1) \delta_1 + \sum_{i=2}^d \lambda(d_i) \delta_i + K_1 w_1$ and we have $\lambda_i(d_i) - \lambda(d_1) > 0$. Now $\lambda_0(\alpha_i^\vee) = \bar{\lambda}_0(\alpha_i^\vee) \in \mathbb{N}$ for $i = 1, 2, \dots, d$.

$$\begin{aligned} \lambda_0(\alpha_{d+1}^\vee) &= \lambda_0(C_1 - \beta^\vee) \\ &= K_1 - \bar{\lambda}_0(\beta^\vee) \end{aligned}$$

Since K_1 is integer and positive we have $K_1 \geq 1$. By Lemma (1.2) we have $\bar{\lambda}_0(\beta^\vee) = 0$ on 1. Thus $\lambda_0(\alpha_{d+1}^\vee) \in \mathbb{N}$. Hence λ_0 is dominant integral and $\lambda_0 \leq \lambda_i$. By Proposition 12.5 (a) of [K] it follows that λ_0 is a weight of $V(\lambda_i)$ for all i . This proves (4). [QED].

Proof of the Proposition (2.4) Assume $K_1 > 0$. Suppose the conclusions of Lemma (2.8) are true. Since λ_i 's are distinct, $V(\lambda_i)$ are all non-isomorphic irreducible highest weight modules. Hence their sum has to be direct. But $V_{\lambda_0} \cap V(\lambda_i) \neq 0$. Hence $\dim V_{\lambda_0}$ is infinite. A contradiction.

So we conclude that there exists a $\lambda \in P(V)$ such that

(2.10) $\lambda + \eta \notin P(V), \forall 0 \neq \eta \geq 0$. In particular $\lambda + \alpha \notin P(V)$ for $\alpha \in \Delta_{re}^{+a}$. Thus from Lemma (2.3) (4) it follows that $\lambda(\alpha^\vee) \in \mathbb{N}$:

(2.11) Suppose $V_{\lambda+\alpha+\delta'} = 0$ for all $\alpha \in \Delta_{re}^{+a}$ and for all $\delta^1 = \sum_{i=2}^n m_i \alpha_i$. This means $X_\alpha \otimes t^m V_\lambda = 0$. But the positive real roots generates the positive null roots and hence $N^+ \otimes A_{n-1} V_\lambda = 0$. So we are done. Now assume that $V_{\lambda+\alpha+\delta} \neq 0$ for some $\alpha \in \Delta_{re}^{+a}$ and for some $\delta = \sum_{i=2}^n n_i \delta_i$. Let $\mu = \lambda + \alpha + \delta$.

Claim $V_{\mu+\gamma+\delta^1} = 0$ for $\gamma \in \Delta_{re}^{+a}$ and for all $\delta^1 = \sum_{i=2}^n m_i \delta_i$. Suppose it is false. Then $V_{\mu+\gamma+\delta^1} \neq 0$ for some γ and δ^1 .

Case 1 $(\alpha + \gamma, \alpha) > 0$. We know by Lemma (1.4) (2) that $(\alpha + \gamma)(\alpha^\vee) > 0$. Put $\gamma_1 = \alpha + \delta + \delta^1$ and consider

$$(\mu + \gamma + \delta^1)(\gamma_1^\vee) = (\lambda + \alpha + \gamma)(\alpha^\vee) > 0.$$

We are using the fact that $\lambda(C_i) = 0$ for $2 \leq i \leq n$. By Lemma (2.3) (4), $\lambda + \alpha + \gamma + \delta + \delta^1 - (\alpha + \delta + \delta^1) = \lambda + \gamma \in P(V)$ a contradiction to (2.10).

Case 2 $(\alpha + \gamma, \gamma) > 0$ which can be done in the same way.

Since the symmetric bilinear form given by an affine matrix is semi positive definite on the root lattice, we are left with the

Case 3 $(\alpha + \gamma, \alpha + \gamma) = 0$. This implies $\alpha + \gamma = \ell \delta_1$ for $\ell > 0$ and further $(\alpha + \gamma, \alpha) = 0$ and $(\alpha + \gamma, \gamma) = 0$. Then $(\lambda + \alpha + \gamma + \delta + \delta^1)(\gamma_1^\vee) = \lambda(\alpha^\vee)$ where $\gamma_1 = \alpha + \delta + \delta^1$.

Sub case 1 $\lambda(\alpha^\vee) > 0$ (in any case $\lambda(\alpha^\vee) \geq 0$). By lemma 2.3 (4) we have

$$\lambda + \alpha + \gamma + \delta + \delta^1 - (\alpha + \delta + \delta^1) = \lambda + \gamma \in P(V)$$

a contradiction to (2.10).

Sub case 2 $\lambda(\alpha^\vee) = 0$. Note that $\lambda((-\alpha + \ell\delta_1 + \delta + \delta^1)^\vee)$

$$= \ell \frac{2}{|\alpha|^2} \lambda(C_1) > 0$$

Hence by Lemma 2.3 (4) we have

$$\lambda + \alpha + \gamma + \delta + \delta^1 - (\gamma + \delta + \delta^1) = \lambda + \alpha \in P(V)$$

a contradiction to (2.10). Thus our claim follows. As earlier it follows that $N^+ \otimes A_{n-1}V_\mu = 0$. Hence we are done. The case $K_1 < 0$ can be done similarly.[QED].

(2.12) Proposition Let V be integrable irreducible module for τ with finite dimensional weight spaces. Suppose $K_i = 0$ for $1 \leq i \leq n$. Then there exists a weight vector v in V such that

$$n^+ \otimes A_n v = 0 \text{ or}$$

$$n^- \otimes A_n v = 0$$

where $\mathring{\mathcal{G}} = n^+ \oplus \mathring{h} \oplus n^-$ is the standard decomposition.

Proof This follows from the proof of theorem 2.4 (ii) of [C]. Use Lemma (2.6) of our paper instead of Lemma 2.6 of [C]. The fact that the dimension of null roots could be greater than one does not matter.

3 Section

Let $\mathcal{G}$ be a Kac-Moody Lie-algebra. Let h be a Cartan subalgebra of $\mathcal{G}$. Let $\mathcal{G}^1 = [\mathcal{G}, \mathcal{G}]$ and let $h^1 = h \cap \mathcal{G}^1$. Let $\mathcal{G}^1 = N^+ \oplus h^1 \oplus N^-$ be the standard decomposition into positive roots spaces, negative roots spaces and a h^1 . Fix a positive integer n and let $A = A_n = \mathbb{C}[t_1^\pm, \dots, t_n^\pm]$. Let D be a linear span

of derivations $d_1 \cdots, d_n$. Then let $\tilde{\mathcal{G}}_A = \mathcal{G}_A^1 \oplus h'' \oplus D$ where h'' is define as $h = h' \oplus h''$ (see § 1.3 of [K]). Define Lie-algebra structure on $\tilde{\mathcal{G}}_A$ by

$$\begin{aligned} [X(\underline{r}), Y(\underline{s})] &= [X, Y](\underline{r} + \underline{s}). \\ [d_i, X(\underline{r})] &= r_i X(\underline{r}), X, Y \in \mathcal{G} \quad \underline{r}, \underline{s} \in \mathbb{Z}^n \\ [h, X(\underline{r})] &= [h, X](\underline{r}) \\ [h, d_i] &= [d_i, d_j] = 0, h \in h \end{aligned}$$

Let $\tilde{h}_A = h^1 \otimes A \oplus D \oplus h''$ be an abelian Lie-algebra. For any Lie-algebra G , let $U(G)$ be the universal enveloping algebra. Let $H = h^1 \otimes A \oplus h''$. Then $U(H)$ is clearly $\mathbb{Z}^n$ -graded abelian-Lie-algebra. Let $\bar{\psi} : U(H) \rightarrow A$ a $\mathbb{Z}^n$ -graded homomorphism. Then A is a module for H via $\bar{\psi}$ defined as

$$\begin{aligned} h(\underline{m})t^s &= \bar{\psi}(h(\underline{m}))t^s \quad h \in H \quad \underline{m} \in \mathbb{Z}^n \\ ht^s &= \bar{\psi}(h)t^s \quad \text{for } h \in h'' \end{aligned}$$

Let $A_{\bar{\psi}} = \text{Image of } \bar{\psi}$.

(3.1) Lemma (Lemma (1.2) , [E1]). $A_{\bar{\psi}}$ is an irreducible $\tilde{h}_A$ -module if and only if each homogeneous element of $A_{\bar{\psi}}$ is invertible.

Just note that h'' does not play any role.

We need the notion of highest weight module for $\tilde{\mathcal{G}}_A$. Let $\bar{\psi}$ be as above.

(3.2) Definition A module V of $\tilde{\mathcal{G}}_A$ is called highest weight module for $\tilde{\mathcal{G}}_A$ if there exist a weight vector (with respect to $h \oplus D$) v in V such that

(1) $V = U(\tilde{\mathcal{G}}_A)v$ (2) $N_A^+ v = 0$ (3) $U(\tilde{h}_A)v$ is an irreducible module for $\tilde{h}_A$ given by $\bar{\psi}$.

We will now define universal highest weight module for $\tilde{\mathcal{G}}_A$. Let $\bar{\psi}$ be as above such that $A_{\bar{\psi}}$ is irreducible. Let N_A^+ act trivially on $A_{\bar{\psi}}$. Now

consider the following induced $\tilde{\mathcal{G}}_A$ -module. $M(\bar{\psi}) = U(\tilde{\mathcal{G}}_A) \otimes_B A_{\bar{\psi}}$ where $B = N_A^+ \oplus \tilde{h}_A$.

We have the following standard.

(3.3) Proposition

- (1) As $h \oplus D$ module, $M(\bar{\psi})$ is a weight module.
- (2) $M(\bar{\psi})$ is a free N_A^- module and as a vector space

$$M(\bar{\psi}) \cong U(N_A^-) \otimes A_{\bar{\psi}}.$$

- (3) $M(\bar{\psi})$ has a unique irreducible quotient called $V(\bar{\psi})$.

Proof See [E3].

We need the following non-graded highest weight module for $\mathcal{G}_A^1 \oplus h''$.

(3.4) Definition A module W of $\mathcal{G}_A^1 \oplus h''$ is said to be (non-graded) highest weight module if there exists a weight vector (with respect to h) v in W such that (1) $U(\mathcal{G}_A^1 \oplus h'') v = W$

$$(2) N_A^+ v = 0$$

- (3) There exists a ψ in H^* such that $hv = \psi(h)v$ for all $h \in H$.

Let $\psi \in H^*$ and let H act as one dimensional vector space $\mathbb{C}(\psi)$ by ψ . Let N_A^+ acts trivially on $\mathbb{C}(\psi)$. Consider the induced module $M(\psi) = U(\mathcal{G}_A^1 \oplus h'') \otimes_{B'} \mathbb{C}(\psi)$ where $B' = N_A^+ \oplus H$.

Now by standard arguments $M(\psi)$ has a unique irreducible quotient $V(\psi)$.

Let $\bar{\psi}$ be as above and let $\psi = E(1) \circ \bar{\psi}$ where $E(1) : A_{\bar{\psi}} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ defined by $E(1)t^{\underline{m}} = 1$. We will make $V(\psi)_A$ a (graded) $\tilde{\mathcal{G}}_A$ -module by $g(\underline{m})v(\underline{r}) = (g(\underline{m})v)(\underline{m} + \underline{r})$ for $g \in \mathcal{G}_A^1, \underline{r}, \underline{m} \in \mathbb{Z}^n, v \in V(\psi)$.

$$d_i v(\underline{r}) = r_i v(\underline{r})$$

$$h''v(\underline{r}) = (h''v)(\underline{r}), h'' \in h''.$$

(3.5) Proposition Let $\bar{\psi}$ and ψ as above. Assume that $A_{\bar{\psi}}$ is irreducible $\tilde{h}_A$ -module. Let $G \subset \mathbb{Z}^n$ be such that $\{t^{\underline{m}}, \underline{m} \in G\}$ is a coset representatives for $A/A_{\bar{\psi}}$. Let v be a highest weight vector of $V(\psi)$. Then

- (1) $V(\psi)_A = \oplus_{\underline{m} \in G} Uv(\underline{m})$ as $\tilde{\mathcal{G}}_A$ -module. $Uv(\underline{m})$ is a $\tilde{\mathcal{G}}_A$ -module generated by $v(\underline{m})$.
- (2) Each $Uv(\underline{m})$ is an irreducible $\tilde{\mathcal{G}}_A$ -module.
- (3) $Uv(0) \cong V(\bar{\psi})$ as $\tilde{\mathcal{G}}_A$ -module.

Proof Follows from Theorem (1.8) of [E1]. It is stated for special $\bar{\psi}$. But we have only used the fact $A_{\bar{\psi}}$ is irreducible $\tilde{h}_A$ -module. More over our $\tilde{\mathcal{G}}_A$ is smaller than one in [E] but all the arguments take place inside $\tilde{\mathcal{G}}_A$. [QED].

(3.6) Lemma (Lemma (1.10) , [E3]) Let ψ and $\bar{\psi}$ as above. Then $V(\bar{\psi})$ has finite dimensional weight spaces (with respect to $h \oplus D$) if and only if $V(\psi)$ has finite dimensional weight spaces (with respect to h).

The following Lemma tells for which ψ , $V(\psi)$ has finite dimensional weight space with respect to h . There by giving conditions for $V(\bar{\psi})$ to have finite dimensional weight spaces.

(3.7) Lemma $V(\psi)$ has finite dimensional weight spaces if and only if ψ factors through $h' \otimes A/I$ for some co-finite ideal I of A .

Proof Assume that $V(\psi)$ has finite dimensional weight spaces. Let Δ^+ be a positive root system for $\mathcal{G}$. Let α be a simple root in Δ^+ . Let Y_α be a root vector for the root $-\alpha$. For fixed j , consider, for a highest weight vector v ,

$$\{Y_\alpha \otimes t_j^n v, n \in \mathbb{Z}\}$$

which is contained in the same weight space $V_{\lambda-\alpha}(\psi)$, $\lambda = \psi \mid h$. Thus there exists a polynomial $P_j(\alpha) = \sum a_i t_j^i$ such that

$$Y_\alpha \otimes P_j(\alpha)v = 0.$$

Where $Y_\alpha \otimes P_j(\alpha) = \sum a_i Y_\alpha \otimes t_j^i$. Let (P) be the ideal generated by polynomial P inside A .

Claim 1 $Y_\alpha \otimes (P_j(\alpha))v = 0$.

Consider $0 = h(\underline{m})Y_\alpha \otimes P_j(\alpha)v = Y_\alpha \otimes P_j(\alpha)h(\underline{m})v - \alpha(h)Y_\alpha \otimes t^{\underline{m}}P_j(\alpha)v$. Since $h(\underline{m})$ acts by scalar on v , the first term is zero. Hence the claim.

Put $P_j = \prod P_j(\alpha)$ where α runs through all simple positive roots.

Claim 2 $Y_\beta \otimes (P_j)v = 0$ for $\beta \in \Delta^+$. First note that $(P_j) \subset P_j(\alpha)$ and hence the claim is true for any simple root. Claim by induction on the height β . Claim is true for β such that height $\beta = 1$. Let α be a simple root in Δ^+ . Let X_α be the root vector of root α . Consider

$$X_\alpha(\underline{m})Y_\beta \otimes (P_j)v = Y_\beta \otimes (P_j)X_\alpha(\underline{m})v + [X_\alpha, Y_\beta] \otimes t^{\underline{m}}(P_j)v.$$

The first term is zero as v is highest weight vector. The second term is zero by induction. Since $Y_\beta \otimes (P_j)v$ is killed by $X_\alpha(\underline{m})$ for α simple and for any $\underline{m} \in \mathbb{Z}^n$ it is easy to see that $X_\alpha(\underline{m}).Y_\beta \otimes (P_j)v = 0$ for all $\alpha \in \Delta^+$ and $\underline{m} \in \mathbb{Z}^n$. Hence $Y_\beta \otimes (P_j)v$ is a highest weight of weight $\lambda - \beta$. But $V(\psi)$ is an irreducible highest weight module and hence $Y_\beta \otimes (P_j)v = 0$. Hence claim 2.

Claim 3 $h \otimes (P_j)v = 0 \quad \forall h \in h'$.

Consider $h_\alpha \otimes (P_j)v = X_\alpha Y_\alpha \otimes (P_j)v - Y_\alpha \otimes (P_j)X_\alpha v = 0$ since h_α covers all h' for α simple we have claim 3.

Let I be an ideal generated by $P_1, P_2, \dots, P_n$ inside A . It is elementary to see that A/I is finite dimensional. Thus ψ factors through $h' \otimes A/I$. In fact we

can prove that $\mathcal{G}' \otimes I.V(\psi) = 0$ by considering $W = \{w \in V(\psi), \mathcal{G}' \otimes Iw = 0\}$. We have just seen that W is non empty. It is easy to see that W is a sub module. Hence $W = V(\psi)$.

Conversely suppose that ψ factors through $h' \otimes A/I$ for a co-finite ideal I of A .

Claim 4 For $\beta > 0, Y_\beta \otimes Iv = 0$. Let α be simple positive. Consider

$$\begin{aligned} X_\alpha(\underline{m})Y_\alpha \otimes Iv &= Y_\alpha \otimes IX_\alpha(\underline{m})v + h_\alpha \otimes Iv \\ &= 0 \end{aligned}$$

Let α_1 be simple positive root different from α . Then clearly $X_\alpha(\underline{m})Y_\alpha \otimes Iv = 0$. Hence $Y_\alpha \otimes Iv$ is a highest weight vector. Since $V(\psi)$ is irreducible highest weight module we conclude that $Y_\alpha \otimes Iv = 0$.

Now arguing as earlier on the induction of ht β we have $Y_\beta \otimes Iv = 0$. Hence the claim. Thus we have $\mathcal{G}^1 \otimes Iv = 0$. Now consider the non-zero submodule

$$W = \{w \in V(\psi), \mathcal{G}^1 \otimes Iw = 0\}$$

of $V(\psi)$. Since $V(\psi)$ is irreducible we have $W = V(\psi)$.

Let $V_\mu(\psi)$ be a weight space of $V(\psi)$. Then by *PBW* theorem any vector of $V_\mu(\psi)$ is linear combination of the vector of the form.

(3.8) $Y_{\alpha_1} t^{\underline{m}_1} Y_{\alpha_2} t^{\underline{m}_2} \dots Y_{\alpha_k} t^{\underline{m}_k}$ such that $-\sum \alpha_i + \lambda = \mu$ where $\lambda = \psi | h$ and $\alpha_i > 0$. Thus the number of α_i that can occur are finite. Now for any finite dimensional space W of $V(\psi)$ and for any fixed α_i the space $Y_{\alpha_i} \otimes t^{\underline{m}} W$, for $\underline{m} \in \mathbb{Z}^n$ is finite dimensional. Thus at every stage in the equation (3.8) we get a finite dimensional space. So we conclude that $V_\mu(\psi)$ is finite dimensional. [QED].

(3.9) Remark (1) $V(\psi)$ has finite dimensional weight space if and only if $\mathcal{G}' \otimes I.V(\psi) = 0$ for a co-finite ideal I of A .

(2) This lemma also gives new modules with finite dimensional weight spaces for affine Lie-algebras by taking $\mathcal{G}$ to be finite dimensional simple Lie-algebra and $n = 1$.

We will now give a continuous family of irreducible highest weight modules for $\tilde{\mathcal{G}}_A$ with finite dimensional weight spaces.

As earlier let n be a positive integer. For each $i, 1 \leq i \leq n$, let N_i be a positive integer. Let $\underline{a}_i = (a_{i1}, \dots, a_{iN_i})$ be non-zero distinct complex numbers. Let $N = N_1 \cdots N_n$. Let $I = (i_1, \dots, i_n)$ where $1 \leq i_j \leq N_j$. Let $\underline{m} = (m_1, \dots, m_n) \in \mathbb{Z}^n$. Define $a_I^{\underline{m}} = a_{1i_1}^{m_1} \cdots a_{ni_n}^{m_n}$. Let ϕ be a Lie-algebra homomorphism defined by

$$(3.10) \quad \Phi : \mathcal{G}_A \rightarrow \oplus_{N\text{-copies}} \mathcal{G} = \mathcal{G}_N$$

$$X \otimes t^{\underline{m}} \mapsto (a_I^{\underline{m}} X).$$

$\mathcal{G}$ could be any Lie-algebra. This map was first defined by Kac-Jacobson for $n = 1$.

Lemma (3.11) (a) Φ is surjective.

(b) Let $P_j(t_j) = \prod_{k=1}^{N_j} (t_j - a_{jk})$ and I be the ideal generated by $P_1(t_1), \dots, P_n(t_n)$ inside A . Then $\mathcal{G} \otimes A/I \cong \mathcal{G}_N$.

Proof (a) We will first prove that the following $N \times N$ matrix is invertible.

$$X = (a_{1i}^{m_1} \cdots a_{ni_n}^{m_n})_{\substack{0 \leq m_i \leq N_i - 1 \\ 1 \leq i_j \leq N_j.}}$$

The index $\underline{m}$ determines rows and the index $(i_1, \dots, i_n)$ determines columns. For $n = 1$, X becomes Vandermonde matrix as $a_{1i} \neq a_{1j}$. Hence X is invertible. We will now prove this for $n = 2$ and then extend it for all n .

Given a square matrix A , we call a square matrix of the form.

$$\begin{pmatrix} A & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ 0 & A & \cdots & 0 \\ \cdots & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots \\ 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0A \end{pmatrix}$$

a block diagonal matrix of A . Let $B = (a_{1i}^j)_{1 \leq i, j \leq N_1}$ and

$$C = (a_{2i}^j)_{1 \leq i, j \leq N_2}.$$

For $K = 1, 2$, since a_{Ki} are distinct non-zero complex numbers for distinct i , B and C are Vandermonde matrices and hence they are invertible. Let $\tilde{B}$ be $N \times N$ block diagonal matrix of B . Similarly $\tilde{C}$ for C . Clearly both $\tilde{B}$ and $\tilde{C}$ are invertible.

Let $\sigma : \langle 1, 2, \dots, N \rangle \rightarrow \langle 1, \dots, N \rangle$ be such that

$$\sigma(p) = (m - 1)N_2 + (\ell + 1)$$

where $p = N_1\ell + m, 0 \leq \ell \leq N_2 - 1, 1 \leq m \leq N_1$ (recall that $N_1N_2 = N$). Clearly σ is injective. Let $\tilde{D} = (\tilde{c}_{k,\ell})$ where $\tilde{c}_{k,\ell} = \tilde{c}_{\sigma(k),\ell}$, $\tilde{C} = (\tilde{c}_{k,\ell})$ and $\tilde{B} = (\tilde{b}_{k,\ell})$. Then clearly $\tilde{D}$ is a product of permutation matrix and $\tilde{C}$ and hence invertible. We now claim that upto permutation matrix $X = \tilde{B}\tilde{D}$ which is invertible.

Consider (k, ℓ) entry of $\tilde{B}\tilde{D}$.

$$(3.12) \quad \sum_{j=1}^N \tilde{b}_{kj} \tilde{c}_{\sigma(j)\ell}$$

Claim Exactly one and only one term in (3.12) is non zero.

$$\begin{aligned} \text{let } k &= p_1 N_1 + q_1, & 0 \leq p_1 \leq N_2 - 1 \\ & & 1 \leq q_1 \leq N_1 \\ \ell &= s N_2 + t, & 0 \leq s \leq N_1 - 1 \\ & & 1 \leq t \leq N_2 \end{aligned}$$

Since $\tilde{B}$ is a block diagonal matrix of B , $\tilde{b}_{kj}$ to be non zero in (3.11), j should be equal to $p_1 N_1 + q_2$ for some $1 \leq q_2 \leq N_1$.

Now $\sigma(j) = (q_2 - 1)N_2 + (p_1 + 1)$. Now since $\tilde{C}$ is a block diagonal matrix, $\tilde{C}_{\sigma(j), \ell}$ to be non-zero ℓ should be equal to

$(q_2 - 1)N_2 + t$ which forces $q_2 - 1 = s$. Thus (3.12) equal to

$$a_{1q_1}^s a_{2(p_1+1)}^t.$$

This proves the claim. Notice that the exact placement of the entries are given by the permutation matrix. But the entries of the rows and columns do not get mixed up. Hence $X = \tilde{B}\tilde{D}$ up to permutation.

Now we will prove the result for any n . Let $A_k = (a_{ki}^{j-1})_{1 \leq i, j \leq N_k}$ and let $\tilde{A}_k$ be a block diagonal matrix of A_k . Consider $\tilde{A}_1$ and $\tilde{A}_2$. Let E be a permutation matrix given as in the case $n = 2$. The only difference is that E is actually a block diagonal matrix of a permutation matrix of order $N_1 N_2$. Now $\tilde{A}_1 E \tilde{A}_2$ is a block diagonal matrix of a matrix of order $N_1 N_2$. Now take $\tilde{A}_1 E \tilde{A}_2$ in place of $\tilde{A}_1$ and $\tilde{A}_3$ in place of $\tilde{A}_2$ in the case $n = 2$. Repeat the process to get a matrix of the form $\tilde{A}_1 E \tilde{A}_2 F \tilde{A}_3$ (F is a permutation matrix) which is a block diagonal matrix of a matrix of order $N_1 N_2 N_3$. Each entry of this matrix is of the form

$$a_{1i_1}^{j_1-1} a_{2i_2}^{j_2-1} a_{3i_3}^{j_3-1}.$$

Repeating this process we get the desired matrix X which is invertible.

Let $(X_1, \dots, X_N) \in \mathcal{G}_N$.

Now $\Phi(X^{-1}(X_1, \dots, X_N)^T) = (X_1, \dots, X_N)$. This completes the proof of Lemma 3.11 (a).

(b) $\mathcal{G} \otimes P_j(t_j) \subset \ker \Phi$, since the restriction of Φ is nothing but evaluation map at the roots of $P_j(t_j)$. Thus we have $\mathcal{G} \otimes I \subseteq \ker \Phi$. Consider the space $T = \{\mathcal{G} \otimes t^{\underline{m}}, 0 \leq m_i < N_i\}$. Since any $\mathcal{G} \otimes P$ can be reduced to the linear combinations of elements of T modulo $\mathcal{G} \otimes I$, it is a spanning set. In (a) we actually proved Φ is surjective on T . Further Φ is injective on T as the corresponding matrix is invertible. Thus it follows that $\mathcal{G} \otimes A/I \cong \mathcal{G}_N$. [QED].

(3.13) Remark The condition $a_{ij} \neq a_{ik}$ is necessary. Otherwise Φ is not surjective.

Let $V(\lambda_i)$ be irreducible highest weight module for $\mathcal{G}$. Then it is known by Lemma (9.10) of [K] that $V(\lambda_i)$ is irreducible $\mathcal{G}'$ -module. Thus $V = \bigotimes_{i=1}^N V(\lambda_i)$ is an irreducible $\mathcal{G}'_N$ -module. Restrict the map Φ in (3.10) to $\mathcal{G}'_A \oplus h''$ whose image containing $\mathcal{G}'_N$. Thus V is irreducible $\mathcal{G}'_A \oplus h''$ module via Φ . Consider $I = (i_1, \dots, i_n)$ for $1 \leq i_j \leq N_j$. They are N of them. Give them an order say $I_1, \dots, I_N$. The map Φ is defined in this order. Now define

(3.14) $\psi : U(H) \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$

by $\psi(h \otimes t^{\underline{m}}) = \sum_{i=1}^N a_I^{\underline{m}} \lambda_i(h)$, $h \in h'$ and $\psi(h) = \sum \lambda_i(h)$, $h \in h$. Then it is easy to see that V is a highest weight module with highest weight ψ . Thus we have $V(\psi) \cong V$. Now define

(3.15) $\bar{\psi} : U(H) \rightarrow A$ as $\mathbb{Z}^n$ -graded homomorphism by

$\bar{\psi}(h \otimes t^{\underline{m}}) = \psi(h \otimes t^{\underline{m}}) t^{\underline{m}}$. So in order to get modules for $\tilde{\mathcal{G}}_A$ we need to know that $A_{\bar{\psi}}$ is an irreducible $\tilde{h}_A$ -module. (See proposition (3.5) and Lemma

(3.1)). It is well known that $V(\lambda_i)$ is integrable if and only if λ_i is dominant integral. Since our interest is in constructing integrable modules we will assume that each λ_i is dominant.

(3.16) Lemma Let $\bar{\psi}$ be as above. Assume each λ_i is dominant and not all of them are zero. Then $A_{\bar{\psi}}$ is irreducible $\tilde{h}_A$ -module.

Proof Let $I_j = (i_1, \dots, i_n)$ and let $\lambda_j = \lambda_{i_1 i_2 \dots i_n}$. Consider $\Gamma = \{\underline{m} \in \mathbb{Z}^n, t^{\underline{m}} \in A_{\bar{\psi}}\}$ $\bar{\psi}$ being an algebra homomorphism, Γ is closed under addition. $\bar{\psi}(h \otimes 1) = \sum \lambda_{i_1 \dots i_n}$ and each weight is dominant and not all zero. So $\bar{\psi}(h \otimes 1) \neq 0$ for some h . Hence $0 \in \Gamma$. To prove the Lemma it is sufficient to prove that Γ is a sub group (see Lemma (3.1)). For $1 \leq j \leq N$ consider $\bar{\psi}_j = \bar{\psi} \mid h \otimes \mathbb{C}[t_j, t_j^{-1}]$.

Claim Image $\bar{\psi}_j = \mathbb{C}[t_j^{k_j}, t_j^{-k_j}]$ for some $k_j \leq N_j$. Consider $\bar{\psi}_j(h \otimes t_j^{m_j}) = \sum_{i_j=1}^{N_j} \sum_{(i_1, \dots, i_n)} \lambda_{i_1, \dots, i_n}(h) a_{ji_j}^{m_j} t_j^{m_j}$. Clearly for a fixed i_j one of $\sum_{(i_1, \dots, i_n)} \lambda_{i_1, \dots, i_n}(h)$ is non zero for some h . Now $\bar{\psi}_j(h \otimes t_j^{m_j}) = 0$ for $0 < m_j \leq N_j$ cannot hold as $(a_{ji_j}^{m_j})$ is a Vandermonde matrix. The same holds for $-N_j \leq m_j < 0$. Thus the image has to be $\mathbb{C}[t_j^{k_j}, t_j^{-k_j}]$ for some $0 < k_j \leq N_j$. In fact $k_j \mid N_j$ see Lemma (5.3) of [E2]. Thus we have

(3.17)

$$\ell_1 k_1 \mathbb{Z} \oplus \dots \oplus \ell_n k_n \mathbb{Z} \subseteq \Gamma$$

for any $\ell_1, \dots, \ell_n \in \mathbb{Z}$. $0 < k_i \leq N_i$. Let $\Gamma_0 = \{(m_1, \dots, m_n) \in \Gamma, 0 \leq m_i < k_i\}$ a finite set. To prove the lemma it is sufficient to prove that each element of Γ_0 has a inverse in Γ . This is in view of (3.17). Let $\underline{m} = (m_1, \dots, m_n) \in \Gamma_0$ be such that m_1 is non-zero and minimal. (if the all first co-ordinates of Γ_0 are zero we take the next one). We will first prove that there exist $\underline{m}^1 \in \Gamma$

such that $m_1^1 = -m_1$. Let $k_1 = \ell_1 m_1 + s_1, 0 \leq s_1 < m_1, \ell_1 > 0$. Consider $x = (\ell_1 + 1)\underline{m} - (k_1, \dots, k_r) \in \Gamma$. Then $(\ell_1 + 1)m_1 - k_1 = -s_1 + m_1 \leq m_1$. Further $0 < -s_1 + m_1$. Now we can assume that $x \in \Gamma_0$ without changing the first co-ordinate in view of (3.17). Now by minimality of m_1 it will follow that $-s_1 + m_1 = m_1$. Now consider $\underline{m} + (\ell - 1)\underline{m} - (k_1, \dots, k_r) = (0, *, *, \dots, *) \in \Gamma$. Take any $\underline{m}^1 \in \Gamma_0$. Assume that $m_1^1 \neq 0$ write $m_1^1 = \ell_1^1 m_1 + s_1, 0 \leq s_1 < m_1$. Arguing as earlier we see that $s_1 = 0$. Hence $y^1 = \underline{m}^1 - \ell_1^1 \underline{m} = (0, *, \dots, *) \in \Gamma$. We can further assume that $y^1 \in \Gamma_0$. Thus we have proved that given $\underline{m} \in \Gamma_0$ there exists $\underline{m}^1 \in \Gamma$ such that $\underline{m} + \underline{m}^1 = (0, *, \dots, *) \in \Gamma_0$. Repeating this process we get inverses of all elements of Γ_0 . [QED]. $\square$

(3.18) Theorem Let $\mathcal{G}$ be Kac-Moody Lie-algebra. Let $A = A_n = \mathbb{C}[t_1^\pm, \dots, t_n^\pm]$ be a Laurent polynomial in n commuting variables. Let ψ and $\bar{\psi}$ as defined in (3.14) and (3.15) with each λ_i dominant integrable. Then $V(\bar{\psi})$ is an integral irreducible module for $\tilde{\mathcal{G}}_A$ with finite dimensional weight spaces. Further $V(\bar{\psi})$ is isomorphic to the first component of $V(\psi) \otimes A$.

Proof. From Lemma (3.16) $A_{\bar{\psi}}$ is irreducible. Hence $V(\bar{\psi})$ is a irreducible highest weight module from Proposition (3.3). From Proposition (3.5) it will follow that $V(\bar{\psi})$ is isomorphic to the first component of $V(\psi) \otimes A$. Since each λ_i is dominant integral $V(\lambda_i)$ is integrable. Since each $V(\lambda_i)$ is a highest weight module it is known that $V = \otimes_{i=1}^N V(\lambda_i)$ is module with finite dimensional weight space with respect to h where h is included diagonally in $\oplus h$. From Lemma (3.11) it follows that the map defined in (3.10) is surjective. Hence $V \cong V(\psi)$. Since $V(\psi)$ has finite dimensional weight spaces it will follow from Lemma (3.6) that $V(\bar{\psi})$ has finite dimensional weight spaces with respect to $\tilde{h} = h \oplus D$. [QED].

(3.19) Remark Most often $A_{\overline{\psi}} = A$. See (1.7) of [E1]. In this case $V(\overline{\psi}) = V(\psi) \otimes A$.

(3.20) Proposition Suppose $V(\overline{\psi})$ is an integrable highest weight module for $\tilde{\mathcal{G}}_A$ with finite dimensional weight spaces. Then

$$\overline{\psi}(h \otimes t^{\underline{m}}) = \sum_{j=0}^N a_{I_j}^{\underline{m}} \lambda_j(h) t^{\underline{m}} \text{ for } h \in h'$$

for some distinct non-zero scalar $(a_{i1}, \dots, a_{iN_i})$ and $a_{I_j}^{\underline{m}}$ are as defined in (3.10) and each λ_j is dominant integral.

Proof Let $\psi = E(1) \circ \psi$ where $E(1)t^{\underline{m}} = 1$. Let v be a highest weight vector of $V(\psi)$. Then by Lemma (3.6), $V(\psi)$ has finite dimensional weight spaces. Then from the proof of Lemma (3.7), $V(\psi)$ is a module for $\mathcal{G}' \otimes A/I$ where I is a co-finite ideal of A . Further I is generated by polynomials $P_j(t_j)$ for $1 \leq j \leq n$. We can assume that $P_j(t_j)$ has no zero roots as one can multiply $P_j(t_j)$ by $t_j^{-\ell}$, $\ell > 0$ and will get the same ideal I . Further we can assume that each polynomial P_j is not a constant. In case P_j is constant then the module will be trivial. Let $a_{j1}, \dots, a_{jN_j}$ be distinct non-zero roots of $P_j(t_j)$. Let

$$P_j^1(t_j) = \prod_{k=1}^{N_j} (t_j - a_{jk}).$$

Let I' be a co-finite ideal generated by $P_j^1(t_j)$ insider A .

Claim $\mathcal{G}' \otimes I^1$ is zero on $V(\psi)$. Consider the map $\Phi : \mathcal{G}' \otimes A/I \rightarrow \mathcal{G}' \otimes A/I' \rightarrow 0$. It is easy to verify that $\ker \Phi$ is solvable. Let α be a simple root of $\mathcal{G}$. Let $X_\alpha, Y_\alpha, H_\alpha$ be a sl_2 -tripple and let $\mathcal{G}_\alpha$ be the span of X_α, Y_α and H_α . Consider $\overline{\mathcal{G}}_\alpha = \mathcal{G}_\alpha \otimes A/I$ which is finite dimensional. Let W be $\overline{\mathcal{G}}_\alpha$ module generated by v . Since $V(\psi)$ is integrable and $X_\alpha \otimes P$ acts trivially on v and $H_\alpha \otimes P$ acts as scalars, we conclude that W is finite dimensional.

By restricting the action of the solvable Lie-algebra $\mathcal{G}_\alpha \otimes I'/I$ to W we get a vector w in W (by Lie-theorem) such that $\mathcal{G}_\alpha \otimes I'/I$ acts as scalars on w . From the proof of the Proposition (2.1) of [E3] (we assumed the module is irreducible but for this conclusion we do not need it) we get that

$$(3.21) \quad \mathcal{G}_\alpha \otimes (I'/I) w = 0.$$

Subclaim $\mathcal{G}_\alpha \otimes (I'/I) v = 0$. By definition of W we have a $Y \in U(Y_\alpha \otimes A/I)$ such that $Yv = w$. Since $V(\psi)$ is irreducible there exists $X \in U(\tau)$ such that

$$Xw = v = XYv$$

where $X = X_- H X_+$, $X_+ \in U(N^+ \otimes A)$, $X_- \in U(N^- \otimes A)$, $H \in U(h \otimes A)$. First note that the weight of $V(\psi)$ are of the form $\lambda - \sum n_i \alpha_i + \delta_{\underline{m}}$ where $\lambda = \psi|_h$ and $\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n$ the simple positive roots and $n_1, \dots, n_a$ are non-negative integers. $\delta_{\underline{m}}$ is a null root. The weight of w is $\lambda - s\alpha$ for $s \geq 0$. Thus for these reasons $X_+ \in U(X_\alpha \otimes A)$. Further X_- has to be constant. Thus X is linear combinations of products of the form.

$$(3.22)$$

$$U(h \otimes A) X_\alpha Q_1 \cdots X_\alpha Q_\ell, Q_i \in A.$$

First we will see that

$$(3.23)$$

$$\mathcal{G}_\alpha \otimes I' U(h \otimes A) w = 0 \text{ by (3.21).}$$

Now consider

$$(3.24)$$

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{G}_\alpha \otimes I' X_\alpha Q_1 w &= X_\alpha Q \mathcal{G}_\alpha \otimes I' w \\ &+ [X_\alpha, \mathcal{G}_\alpha] \otimes Q I' w = 0 \end{aligned}$$

Both terms are zero by (3.21). Now by induction on ℓ we see that

$$\mathcal{G}_\alpha \otimes I' X_\alpha Q_1 \cdots X_\alpha Q_\ell w = 0.$$

From (3.22), (3.23) and (3.24) it follows that $\mathcal{G}_\alpha \otimes I' X w = \mathcal{G}_\alpha \otimes I' v = 0$. This proves the subclaim. Since h' is spanned by H_α, α simple we have

$$h' \otimes I' v = 0.$$

We will now show that $Y_\beta \otimes I' v = 0$ for any positive root β where Y_β is a root vector of root $-\beta$. We do this by induction on the height of β . We clearly know this for β such that height $\beta = 1$ by (3.21).

Let α_1 be any simple root. Consider

$$X_\alpha Y_\beta \otimes I' v = Y_\beta \otimes I' X_\alpha v + [X_\alpha, Y_\beta] \otimes I' v.$$

First term is zero since v is a highest weight vector. The second term is zero by induction. Thus we have proved that $Y_\beta \otimes I' v$ is a highest weight vector in an irreducible highest weight module $V(\psi)$. Hence for weight reasons $Y_\beta \otimes I' v = 0$.

Now consider

$$\tilde{W} = \{w \in V(\psi), \mathcal{G}' \otimes I' w = 0\}$$

which is a non-zero submodule of $V(\psi)$. Hence $\tilde{W} = V(\psi)$. This proves the claim.

Thus we have a module for $\mathcal{G}' \otimes A/I'$. By Lemma 3.11 (b) we have $\mathcal{G}' \otimes A/I' \cong \mathcal{G}'_N$ where $N = N_1 \cdots N_r$. Consider h' sitting in the i th place of $\mathcal{G}'_N$ which acts as linear function on the highest weight vector v of $V(\psi)$. By standard theory of integrable modules it follows that λ_i is dominant integral weight. From the map given in Lemma 3.11 (a) it follows that

$$\psi(h' \otimes t^{\underline{m}}) = \sum a_{I_i}^{\underline{m}} \lambda_i(h') \text{ for } h' \in h'.$$

Section 4

We will now extract two classes of integrable irreducible modules for toroidal Lie-algebra τ with finite dimensional weight spaces.

(4.1) Example $\mathcal{Z} = 0$ case. Take $\mathcal{G}$ to be finite dimensional simple Lie-algebra $\overset{\circ}{\mathcal{G}}$ in theorem (3.18). Then $V(\bar{\psi})$ is an integrable irreducible module with finite dimensional weight spaces for the toroidal Lie-algebra τ where center $\mathcal{Z}$ acts trivially.

(4.2) Example $\mathcal{Z} \neq 0$ case. Let $\overset{\circ}{\mathcal{G}}$ be simple finite dimensional Lie-algebra. Let $\mathcal{G}_{af} = \overset{\circ}{\mathcal{G}} \otimes \mathbb{C}[t_1, t_1^{-1}] \oplus \mathbb{C}C_1 \oplus \mathbb{C}d_1$ be the non-twisted affine Kac-Moody Lie-algebra. Consider the following Lie-algebra homomorphism

$$\Phi' : \overset{\circ}{\mathcal{G}} \otimes A \oplus \mathcal{Z} \oplus \sum_{i=1}^n \mathbb{C}d_i \rightarrow \mathcal{G}'_{af} \otimes A_{n-1} \oplus \sum_{i=1}^n \mathbb{C}d_i$$

by

- (1) Φ' is Id on $\overset{\circ}{\mathcal{G}} \otimes A_n$
- (2) $\Phi'(d_i) = d_i$

$$(3) \quad \Phi'(t^{\underline{m}}k_i) = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } m_1 \neq 0 \\ 0 & \text{if } m_1 = 0, 2 \leq i \leq n \\ C_1 \otimes t^{\underline{m}} & \text{if } m_1 = 0, i = 1 \end{cases}$$

Here $A_{n-1} = \mathbb{C}[t_2^{\pm}, \dots, t_n^{\pm}]$ and $\overset{\circ}{\mathcal{G}} \otimes A$ is identified inside $\mathcal{G}_{af} \otimes A_{n-1}$ by $X \otimes t^{\underline{m}} \rightarrow (X \otimes t_1^{m_1}) \otimes t^{\bar{m}}$ where $\bar{m} = (m_2, \dots, m_n)$. Now take $\mathcal{G}_{af}$ to be the Kac-Moody Lie-algebra in Theorem (3.18) and take $n-1$ instead of n . Then $V(\bar{\psi})$ is an irreducible integrable $\mathcal{G}_{af} \otimes A_{n-1} \oplus \sum_{i=1}^n \mathbb{C}d_i$ module. Then $V(\bar{\psi})$ is a module for τ via the homomorphism Φ' .

Towards the end of this paper we prove that the above two classes are the only irreducible integrable modules with finite dimensional weight spaces upto an automorphism of τ .

We will now recall certain automorphisms of τ constructed in section 4.3 of [E1]. Let $A = (a_{ij})_{\substack{1 \leq i \leq n \\ 1 \leq j \leq n}}$ be an element of $GL(n, \mathbb{Z})$ the group of integral matrices of order n with determinate ± 1 . Let $\underline{r} = (r_1, \dots, r_n), \underline{s} = (s_1, \dots, s_n) \in \mathbb{Z}^n$. Let $e_i = (0, \dots, 1, \dots, 0)$ be such that 1 on the i th place and zero everywhere. Let $A\underline{r}^T = \underline{m}^T, A\underline{s}^T = \underline{d}^T$ where $\underline{m}, \underline{d} \in \mathbb{Z}^n$. Let $a(i) = (a_{1i}, \dots, a_{ni})$ so that $Ae_i^T = a(i)^T$. T denotes the transpose. We now define an automorphism of τ again denoted by A .

$$A(X \otimes t^{\underline{r}}) = X(\underline{m}) \quad A(d(t^{\underline{r}})t^{\underline{s}}) = d(t^{\underline{m}}) \cdot t^{\underline{d}}$$

Let $(d_1^1, \dots, d_n^1) = (A^T)^{-1}(d_1, \dots, d_n)^T$. Define $A(d_i) = d_i^1$. It is straightforward to check that A defines an automorphism of τ . A does not preserve the natural $\mathbb{Z}^n$ -gradation of τ .

We note that $\mathcal{Z}$ does not commute with τ but commutes with $\overset{\circ}{\mathcal{G}} \otimes A \oplus \mathcal{Z}$. In spite of this we call them central operators since they are as good as central.

Let V be irreducible τ module with finite dimensional weight spaces. We have the following Lemmas.

(4.3) Lemma (1) Let $z \in \mathcal{Z}$ be a homogeneous element of degree m such that $zv \neq 0$ for some v in V . Then $zw \neq 0$ for all non zero w in V .

(2) The zero degree central operators $K_i = C_i$ act by scalars

Proof Let $W = \{v \in V; zv = 0\}$. Consider $zX \otimes t^{\underline{s}}v = X \otimes t^{\underline{s}}zv = 0$ for v in W . Further $zd_iv = (d_iz + m_iz)v = 0$ for v in V . Hence W is a submodule

of V . Since V is irreducible we should either have $V = W$ or $W = 0$. But we know that $W \neq V$ and hence $W = 0$.

(2) The zero degree central operators K_i leaves each finite dimensional weight space invariant. Thus K_i has a eigenvector v of eigenvalue ℓ . Since V is generated by v , K_i (central) should act by the same scalar ℓ everywhere. This argument holds good for any operator on V of zero degree which commutes with τ and leaves a finite dimensional space invariant. [QED].

We will now prove an important lemma which is crucial for the classification result.

(4.4.) Lemma Let z be a central operator in $\mathcal{Z}$ of degree $\underline{m}$ such that $z \neq 0$. Then there exists a central operator T (need not be in Z) on V of degree $-\underline{m}$ such that

$$Tz = zT = Id$$

Proof First consider zV a non zero submodule of V . Hence $zV = V$. Now given a v in V there exist a unique w in V such that $zw = v$. (uniqueness follow from the fact that $zw_1 = 0$ implies $w_1 = 0$ by lemma 4.3 (1)). Define $T : V \rightarrow V$ such that $T(v) = w$.

Then clearly $zTv = zw = v$. Now consider

$$\begin{aligned} z(X(\underline{r})Tv) &= X(\underline{r})zTv \\ &= X(\underline{r})v. \end{aligned}$$

Hence by definition $T(X(\underline{r})v) = X(\underline{r})Tv$. Thus T commutes with $\mathcal{G} \otimes A$ and hence commutes with z . In particular

$$Tzv = zTv = zw = v. [QED]$$

(4.5) Theorem Let V be irreducible τ module with finite dimensional weight spaces with respect to $\overset{\circ}{h} \oplus Z_0 \oplus D$ where Z_0 is the linear span of

$K_1, \dots, K_n$. Let

$$L = \{\underline{r}, -\underline{r}; t^{\underline{r}}K_i \neq 0 \text{ on } V \text{ for some } i\}.$$

Suppose the dimension of the $\mathbb{C}$ linear span of L is k . Then upto an automorphism of τ

- (1) there exists non-zero integers $m_1, m_2, \dots, m_k$ and nonzero central operators $z_1, \dots, z_k$ such that the degree of z_i is equal to $(0, \dots, m_i, 0 \dots 0)$
- (2) $k < n$
- (3) $t^{\underline{r}}K_i = 0$ for all i and for all $\underline{r}$ such that $r_j \neq 0$ for some $k+1 \leq j \leq n$.
- (4) $t^{\underline{r}}K_i = 0$ for $1 \leq i \leq k$ and for all $\underline{r}$.
- (5) There exists a proper submodule W of $\mathring{\mathcal{G}}_A \oplus \mathcal{Z} \oplus D_k$ such that V/W has finite dimensional weight spaces with respect to $h \oplus \mathcal{Z}_0 + D_k$ where D_k is the linear span of

$$\{d_{k+1}, \dots, d_n\}.$$

Proof Let $T = \{z_1 z_2 \dots z_\ell \mid \text{where } z_i = t^{\underline{r}}K_j \neq 0 \text{ or } z_i = \text{inverse of } t^{\underline{r}}K_j \neq 0 \text{ for some } j \text{ and for some } \underline{r}\}$.

Let $L^1 = \{\underline{s} \in \mathbb{Z}^n \mid \text{where } \underline{s} \text{ is the degree of some operator in } T\}$. Then clearly L^1 is a sub group of $\mathbb{Z}^n$. Now by standard basis theorem there exists $\underline{s}_1, \dots, \underline{s}_n \in \mathbb{Z}^n$ such that $m_1 \underline{s}_1 \dots m_k \underline{s}_k$ is a basis of L^1 for some non-zero integers m_i . Now we can find an automorphism B such that $B \underline{s}_i = (0 \dots 1, \dots 0)$. Let $\underline{r}_i = m_i \underline{s}_i$ for $1 \leq i \leq k$. Then $B \underline{r}_i = (0, \dots m_i, \dots 0)$ for $i \leq i \leq k$. So after twisting the automorphism we can assume that there exists non-zero central operators $z_1, \dots, z_k$, of degree $(m_1, 0, \dots 0) \dots (0, \dots m_k, 0 \dots 0)$. Let $T_1, \dots, T_k$ be the inverse of $z_1, \dots, z_k$.

Claim 1 $W_1 = \{z_1v - v \mid v \in V\}$ is a proper $\mathring{\mathcal{G}}_A \oplus \mathcal{Z} \oplus D_1$ -module.

Note that for $i \neq 1$, d_i commutes with z_1 and hence W_1 is d_i -invariant. Clearly W_1 is $\mathring{\mathcal{G}}_A \oplus \mathcal{Z}$ invariant. To see that W_1 is proper, just note that W_1 cannot contain d_1 weight vectors. Now consider $W_2 = \{z_2v - v; v \in V/W\}$. By above argument we can see that W_2 is a proper $\mathring{\mathcal{G}}_A \oplus \mathcal{Z} \oplus D_2$ module. Continuing this process we see that $W = \{z_iv - v \mid v \in V, 1 \leq i \leq k\}$ is a proper $\mathring{\mathcal{G}}_A \oplus \mathcal{Z} \oplus D_k$ -module.

Claim 2 $T_iW = W$ and $v - T_iv \in W$ for all v in V .

Consider $v - T_iv = z_iT_iv - T_iv \in W$. Now $T_i(z_jv - v) = z_j(T_iv) - T_iv \in W$. Hence the claim 2.

Claim 3 Let λ be a weight of V . Let $\overline{V}_\lambda = \bigoplus_{\underline{r}} V_{\lambda + \delta_{\underline{r}}}$ where the sum runs over all $\underline{r}$ such that $r_{k+1} = 0 \cdots = r_n$. Let $\overline{W}_\lambda = \overline{V}_\lambda \cap W$. Then $M = \overline{V}_\lambda / \overline{W}_\lambda$ is finite dimensional.

To see this first recall that z_i is central operator of degree $\underline{m}_i = (0, \dots, m_i, \dots, 0)$. Consider

$$\begin{aligned} S &= \bigoplus_{|r_i| < |m_i|} V_{\lambda + \delta_{\underline{r}}} \\ r_{k+1} &= 0 \cdots = r_n. \end{aligned}$$

Since each weight space is finite dimensional it follows that S is finite dimensional, so to prove the claim 3 it is sufficient to prove that every v in $V_{\overline{\lambda}}$ is in S modulo $W_{\overline{\lambda}}$. Let $v \in V_{\lambda + \delta_{\underline{r}}}$, $\underline{r} = (r_1, \dots, r_k, 0 \cdots 0)$. Let

$$r_i = l_i m_i + g_i, \quad |g_i| < |m_i|$$

for $g_i, l_i \in \mathbb{Z}$. Note that the sign of l_i depends on the sign of r_i and m_i . Suppose $r_i > 0$ and $m_i < 0$ then $l_i \leq 0$. Suppose $r_i > 0$ and $m_i < 0$ then $l_i \geq 0$.

Let

$$Z = \prod_{l_i < 0} z_i^{-l_i} \prod_{l_i \geq 0} T_i^{l_i}$$

Then by claim 2 and the definition of W we see that $Zv = v$ modulo W for all v in V . On the other hand it is easy to see that $Zv \in S$. Hence the claim.

Thus we have proved that V/W is a $\mathring{\mathcal{G}}_A \oplus Z \oplus D_k$ -module with finite dimensional weight space with respect to $h \oplus Z_0 \oplus D_k$. This proves (5).

(3) Suppose $t^{\underline{r}}K_i \neq 0$ for some $\underline{r}$ and such that $r_j \neq 0$ for $k+1 \leq j \leq n$. This increases the dimension by 1. So we have (3).

(1). Let h_k be the linear span of $h \otimes t^{\underline{r}}, t^{\underline{r}}K_i$ for $1 \leq i \leq k$ and $r_j = 0$ for $k+1 \leq j \leq n$ and $d_{k+1}, \dots, d_n$. One can check that h_k is solvable. In fact $[[h_k, h_k], h_k] = 0$. Now clearly $V_{\bar{\lambda}}$ is a submodule for h_k and $W_{\bar{\lambda}}$ is a submodule. Therefore M is a finite dimensional module for h_k . Thus by Lie-theorem there exists a vector v in M such that $h(\underline{r})v = \lambda(h, \underline{r})v$ for $h(\underline{r}) \in h_k$. Consider for $\underline{m}, \underline{d} \in \mathbb{Z}^n$ such that $d_j = m_j = 0$ for $k+1 \leq j \leq n$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} (h, h')d(t^{\underline{m}})t^{\underline{d}}v &= [h(\underline{m}), h'(\underline{d})]v \\ &= (h(\underline{m})h'(\underline{d}) - h(\underline{m})h(\underline{d}))v \\ &= (\lambda(h, \underline{m})\lambda(h', \underline{d}) - \lambda(h', \underline{d})\lambda(h, \underline{m}))v \\ &= 0 \end{aligned}$$

Let $z = d(t^{\underline{m}})t^{\underline{d}}$ and suppose $z \neq 0$ on V . Then we have proved that there exists a non-zero vector in V/W (there is $v \notin W$) such that $zv \in W$. But $v = z^{-1}zv \subseteq z^{-1}W \subseteq W$. A contradiction. Hence $z = 0$. In particular $t^{\underline{r}}K_i = 0$ for $1 \leq i \leq k$ and $r_j = 0$ for $k+1 \leq j \leq n$. (see 2.2 (1)). This together with (3) proves (4). The second part of (1) follows from above.

(5) Suppose $k = n$. Then by 4, $\mathcal{Z}$ has to be zero a contradiction to the fact that $k = n > 0$. [QED].

We record here the following Lemma about the dimensions of central operators acting on V . We will not need it anywhere but of independent interest.

(4.6) Lemma Let z_1, z_2 be non-zero central operators of degree $\underline{m}$ of an irreducible module V of τ with finite dimensional weight space. Then $z_1 = kz_2$ for some constant k . In particular there is at most one dimensional non-zero central operator in a given degree.

Proof Let T_1 be the inverse central operator of degree $-\underline{m}$ of z_1 . Consider z_2T_1 which is of degree zero central operator and leaves a finite dimensional space $V_{\underline{g}}$ (see the proof of Lemma 2.6) invariant.

Thus it has a eigenvector v say of eigenvalue k . But v generates V and hence $z_2T_1 = k$ on V . Now $z_1k = z_1z_2T_1 = z_2$. Hence we are done. [QED].

We need one more reduction modulo an automorphism of τ before we can take up the classification problem. Recall that $A \in GL(n, \mathbb{Z})$ defines an automorphism of τ such that $Ad(t^{\underline{x}})t^{\underline{s}} = d(t^{A\underline{x}}).t^{A\underline{s}}$. (We are supressing Transpose T and there is no confusion). It is easy to see that if $A = (a_{ij})$ then

$$(4.7) \quad A(t^{\underline{s}}K_i) = \sum_{j=1}^n a_{ij}t^{A(\underline{s})}K_j. \text{ By taking } \underline{s} = 0 \text{ we have } A(K_i) = \sum_{j=1}^n a_{ij}K_j.$$

Let K_i act on V by k_i . We know that there exists $k < n$ such that $k_i = 0$ for $1 \leq i \leq k$ upto an automorphism of τ . Now choose $A \in GL(n, \mathbb{Z})$, $A = \begin{pmatrix} I & 0 \\ 0 & B \end{pmatrix}$ such that I is identity matrix of order $k \times k$ and $B \in GL(n - k, \mathbb{Z})$ such that $B(k_{k+1}, \dots, k_n)^T = (0, \dots, 0, \ell)$.

(4.8) Proposition Let V be irreducible module for τ with finite dimensional weight spaces. Let k be an integer as defined in Theorem (4.5). Then

upto automorphism of τ the assertions of Theorem 4.5 holds and further we can assume $K_i = 0$ for $1 \leq i \leq n - 1$.

Proof We have Theorem (4.5). Now choose A such that (4.7) holds.

(1) Clearly holds.

(3) Claim $t^{A(\underline{x})}K_i = 0$ for all i and j such that $A(\underline{x})_j \neq 0$ for some j such that $k + 1 \leq j \leq n$. First note that $r_i \neq 0$ for some $k + 1 \leq i \leq n$ by the choice of A . Now by Theorem 4.5 (3) we have $t^{\underline{x}}K_i = 0$ for all i . Then from (4.7) and the fact that A is invertible the claim follows.

(4) Claim $t^{A(\underline{x})}K_i = 0$ $1 \leq i \leq k$ for all $\underline{x}$. From (4.7) we have the claim by noting that $a_{ij} = 0$ for $j \geq k + 1$.

2 and 5 follows from arguments similar to Theorem 4.5 (2) and (3). In addition we can assume that $A(K_i) = 0, 1 \leq i \leq n - 1$. [QED].

We will now start eliminating several cases in order to classify integrable irreducible modules for τ with finite dimensional weight spaces.

(4.9) Proposition Let V be irreducible integrable module for τ with finite dimensional weight spaces. Suppose $k < n - 1$ (see Theorem 4.5) and suppose $k_i \neq 0$ for some i , Then such V does not exist.

Proof By Proposition (4.8) we can assume that $k_i = 0$ for $1 \leq i \leq n - 1$ and $k_n \neq 0$. Let $\mathcal{G}_{af} = \overset{\circ}{\mathcal{G}} \otimes \mathbb{C}[t_n, t_n^{-1}] \oplus \mathbb{C}K_n \oplus \mathbb{C}d_n$ be an affine Lie-algebra. Let $\mathcal{G}_{af} = N^+ \oplus h' \oplus N^-$ be a standard decomposition with $h' = \overset{\circ}{h} \oplus \mathbb{C}K_n \oplus \mathbb{C}d_n$. Now by Proposition (2.4) we can assume that there exists a weight vector v of weight in V such that

$$N^+ \otimes A_{n-1}v = 0.$$

(The other case can be dealt similarly). Here $A_{n-1} = \mathbb{C}[t_1^\pm, \dots, t_{n-1}^\pm]$. (We have chosen n instead of 1 in Proposition (2.4)). In particular $h \in \overset{\circ}{h}$ we have $h \otimes t_{k+1}^\ell t_n^m v = 0$ for all $m > 0$ and for all ℓ .

Claim For any $0 \neq h \in \overset{\circ}{h}$ the following vectors are linearly independent in V , Fix $m > 0$ and ℓ .

$$\{ht_{k+1}^{\ell-d}t_n^{-m} \cdot ht_{k+1}^d t_n^{-(m+1)}v, d \in \mathbb{Z}\}.$$

Suppose there exists non-zero scalars a_d such that

$$B = \sum a_d ht_{k+1}^{\ell-d}t_n^{-m} ht_{k+1}^d t_n^{-(m+1)}v = 0.$$

Choose $h' \in h$ such that $(h, h') \neq 0$. Consider

$h't_{k+1}^s t_n^{m+1} B = 0$ for any s , which implies

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum a_d ht_{k+1}^{\ell-d}t_n^{-m} h't_{k+1}^s t_n^{m+1} ht_{k+1}^d t_n^{-(m+1)}v \\ & + (h, h') \sum a_d s t_{k+1}^{\ell-d+s} t_n K_{k+1} ht_{k+1}^d t_n^{-(m+1)}v \\ & + (h, h') \sum a_d (m+1) t_{k+1}^{\ell-d+s} t_n K_n ht_{k+1}^d t_n^{-(m+1)}v \end{aligned}$$

(by 2.2 (1)).

The term $t_{k+1}^{\ell-d+s} t_n K_{k+1}$ and $t_{k+1}^{\ell-d+s} t_n K_n$ are zero (by Theorem 4.5 (3)) being central. Thus the second and third term above are zero.

The first term is equal to

$$\begin{aligned} 0 & = \sum a_d ht_{k+1}^{\ell-d}t_n^{-m} ht_{k+1}^d t_n^{-(m+1)} h't_{k+1}^s t_n^{m+1}v \\ & + (h, h') \sum a_d ht_{k+1}^{\ell-d}t_n^{-m} s t_{k+1}^{s+d} K_{k+1}v \\ & + (h, h') \sum a_d ht_{k+1}^{\ell-d}t_n^{-m} (m+1) t_k^{s+d} K_n v \end{aligned}$$

(by 2.2 (1)).

Fix a d_0 in the above and let $s = -d_0$. Now from (2.1) $t_{k+1}^{s+d} K_{k+1} = 0$ for $s+d \neq 0$. And $K_{k+1} = 0$ by Proposition (4.8). Thus the second term is zero

in the above. Now by Theorem 4.5 (3), $t_{k+1}^{s+d}K_n = 0$ for $s + d \neq 0$. Hence the third term is zero but for d_0 . The first term is zero being an highest weight. Hence we have

$$a_{-s}ht_{k+1}^{\ell+s}t_n^{-m} \cdot K_nv = 0$$

(4.10) Suppose $ht_{k+1}^{\ell+s}t_n^{-m}v = 0$. Consider

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &= h't_{k+1}^{-(\ell+s)}t_n^m ht_{k+1}^{\ell+s}t_n^{-m}v \\ &= -(h, h')(\ell + s)K_{k+1}v \\ &\quad + m(h, h')K_nv \end{aligned}$$

(by 2.2 (1)) and v is a highest weight. We know that $K_{k+1}v = 0$. But the second term is non zero. Thus (4.10) is false. This proves $a_{-s} = 0$ a contradiction. This proves our claim. Hence we have proved that under the conditions of Proposition (4.9). $V_{\lambda+\ell\delta_{k+1}-(2m+1)\delta_n}$ is infinite dimensional. This completes the proposition. [QED].

(4.11) **Remark** The case $k = n - 1$ where modules exists (see Examples 4.2) will be dealt in the next section. The case $k = 0$ and $K_i = 0$ for all i , in which case $\mathcal{Z} = 0$ is dealt in [E3].

Now we will deal the remaining case where $k \geq 1$ and $K_i = 0$ for all i .

We will first recall an important result due to Futorny [F] on Hiesenberg Lie- Algebra. Let H be a finite dimensional vector space with non-degenerate symmetric bilinear form $(\cdot, \cdot)$. Then $L(H) = H \otimes \mathbb{C}[t, t^{-1}] \oplus \mathbb{C}c$ is called Hisenberg Lie-algebra with the following bracket

$$[h \otimes t^m, h' \otimes t^\ell] = (h, h')m\delta_{m+\ell, 0}C.$$

(4.12) **Proposition** (Proposition 4.3 (i) [F]). Let V be any $\mathbb{Z}$ -graded. $L(H)$ -module with finite dimensional graded spaces and center acts by

nonzero scalars. Then V admits a graded vector v such that $H \otimes t^n v = 0$ for all $n > 0$ (or for all $n < 0$).

Proof It is only proved for one dimensional H . But the proof works for any finite dimensional H by choosing orthogonal basis for H . There it is assumed that V is irreducible. But it is not needed for getting a highest weight (or lowest weight) vector. [QED].

(4.13) Proposition. Let V be integrable irreducible module for τ with finite dimensional weight spaces. Let k be as in theorem 4.5. Suppose $k \geq 1$ and $K_i = 0$ for all i . Then such a module V does not exists.

Proof Recall that $\mathring{\mathcal{G}}$ is finite dimensional simple Lie algebra with $\mathring{\mathcal{G}} = n^+ \oplus \mathring{h} \oplus n^-$. Then by Proposition (2.12) there exists a weight vector v of V such that $n^+ \otimes A_n v = 0$. (The case $n^- \otimes A_n v = 0$ can be done similarly). Suppose $t^m K_i \neq 0$. Then by Theorem 4.5 (3), (4) we have $i \geq k+1$ and $m_{k+1} = 0 \cdots = m_n$. Let H be Hisenberg Lie-algebra spanned by $ht^m t_n^k, k > 0, ht_n^{-k}, k > 0$ and $t^m K_i$ with Lie-braket.

$$[ht^m t_i^k, h' t_k^{+\ell}] = (h, h') k t^m K_i \delta_{k+\ell 0}.$$

Consider M the H module generated by v . Then by Proposition (4.12) there exists w in M such that

$$(a) \quad ht^m t_i^k w = 0, k > 0 \text{ or } (b) \quad ht_i^k w = 0 \text{ for } k < 0.$$

Assume (a)

Now $w = Xv$ for $X \in U(h_A)$. Then it is easy to see that $n^+ \otimes A_n w = 0$. Let λ be the weight of w .

Claim $\lambda \mid \overset{\circ}{h} \neq 0$. Suppose it is zero. Let α be a simple root in $\overset{\circ}{\Delta}$ and let $X_\alpha, Y_\alpha, h_\alpha = [X_\alpha, Y_\alpha]$ be as sl_2 -copy inside $\overset{\circ}{\mathcal{G}}$. Then $X_\alpha \otimes t^{\underline{s}}, Y_\alpha \otimes t^{-\underline{s}}, h_\alpha$ is an sl_2 copy. (because there is no zero degree centre). Now by sl_2 theory for integrable module $Y_\alpha \otimes t^{-\underline{s}}w = 0$ for any $\underline{s}$ as $\lambda(h_\alpha) = 0$. Thus we have $\overset{\circ}{\mathcal{G}} \otimes A_n w = 0$. This implies $\mathcal{Z}$ is zero which is not the case. Thus $\lambda \mid \overset{\circ}{h} \neq 0$. Let α be a simple root such that $\lambda(h_\alpha) \neq 0$. Let $X_\alpha = X, Y_\alpha = Y, h = h_\alpha$ be an sl_2 copy. That is $[X, Y] = h, [h, Y] = -2Y, [h, X] = 2X$. Let ℓ_0 be such that

$$(4.14) \quad ht^{\underline{m}} - \ell_0(X, Y)t^{\underline{m}}K_i = 0. \text{ where } Xt^{\underline{s}} \text{ we mean } X \otimes t^{\underline{s}}.$$

Claim The following infinite set of vectors are linearly independent in V .

$$\{Yt_i^{-r}Yt_i^r w, r > 0, r \neq \ell_0, -\ell_0\}.$$

Suppose there exists non-zero scalars a_r such that

$$(4.15) \quad \sum a_r Yt_i^{-r}Yt_i^r w = 0. \text{ We will be using the following in the calculation below.}$$

- (1) w is a highest weight vector
- (2) $t_i^\ell K_i = 0$ which follows from definition 2.2. for $\ell \neq 0$ and by assumption for $\ell = 0$.
- (3) $d(t^{\underline{m}}t_i^\ell)t_i^{-r} = rt^{\underline{m}}t_i^{\ell-r}K_i$ (by (2.2) and (2.1) and $m_i = 0$).

Consider for $r > 0$

$$\begin{aligned}
& Xt_i^s Xt_i^m t_i^\ell Y t_i^{-r} Y t_i^r w \\
= & ht_i^{s-r} ht_i^m t_i^{\ell+r} w \\
& -r(X, Y) ht_i^{s-r} t_i^m \cdot t_i^{\ell+r} K_i w \\
+ & ht_i^{r+s} ht_{\ell+s}^m t_i^{\ell-r} w \\
& -2ht_i^m t_i^{\ell+s} w \\
& -2s(X, Y) t_i^m t_i^{\ell+s} w \\
+ & r(X, Y) t_i^m t_i^{\ell-r} K_i ht_i^{r+s} w \\
- & r(X, Y)^2 s t_i^{r+s} K_i t_i^m t_i^{r+l} K_i w \\
+ & ht_i^{r+s} ht_i^m t_i^{-r+l} w
\end{aligned}$$

Choose ℓ such that $\ell - r > 0$ which implies $\ell + r > 0$. Then first three terms, the sixth, seventh and eighth term are zero. Thus applying $Xt_i^s Xt_i^m t_i^\ell$ to (4.15) such that $\ell - r > 0$. We have for all r

$$\sum a_r (ht_i^m t_i^{\ell+s} + s(X, Y) t_i^m t_i^{\ell+s}) w = 0$$

choose s such that $\ell + s = 0$. From 4.14 it follos that

(4.16) $\sum a_r = 0$. Now choose r_0 be the maximal among r that occur in (4.15). Now choose ℓ such that $\ell - r_0 = 0, \ell - r > 0$ for $r \neq r_0$. This implies $\ell + r > 0 \forall r$. Again apply $Xt_i^s Xt_i^m t_i^\ell$ to (4.15) we have

$$\begin{aligned}
& a_{r_0} ht_i^{r_0+s} ht_i^m w - 2 \sum a_r (ht_i^m t_i^{\ell+s} + s(X, Y) t_i^m t_i^{\ell+s} K_i) w \\
& + r_0 a_{r_0} (X, Y) t_i^m K_i ht_i^{r_0+s} w.
\end{aligned}$$

Now choose s such that $r_0 + s = 0$. Then $a_{r_0} (ht_i^m + r_0(X, Y) t_i^m k_i) h w = 0$. By choice of r and the fact that $h w = \lambda(h) w \neq 0$, we conclude that $a_{r_0} = 0$. A contradiction. Thus $V_{\lambda+2\alpha}$ is infinite dimension.

(b) $ht_i^k w = 0$, $k < 0$. Consider the set $Yt_i^r Yt_i^{-r}$, $r > 0$ and apply $Xt_i^m t_i^s X t_i^\ell$ then we get the desired linearly independent set. [QED].

5. Section

In this section we will deal with the last case $k = n - 1$, $K_n \neq 0$ and $K_i = 0$ for $1 \leq i \leq n - 1$. We will prove that in this case we will get all the modules defined in example (4.2).

(5.1) Lemma Any $\mathbb{Z}^{n-1}$ graded simple, commutative Algebra M such that each graded component is finite dimensional over $\mathbb{C}$ is isomorphic to a sub-algebra of A_{n-1} such that each homogeneous element is invertible.

Proof Let $M = \bigoplus_{\underline{r} \in \mathbb{Z}^{n-1}} M_{\underline{r}}$ where each $M_{\underline{r}}$ is finite dimensional. Since M is graded simple it follows that M_0 is simple commutative Algebra of finite dimension over $\mathbb{C}$. Then clearly $M_0 \cong \mathbb{C}$. Let $0 \neq w \in M_{\underline{r}}$. The ideal generated by w has to be M and hence there exists inverse say w^{-1} . Consider $w^{-1}M_{\underline{r}} \subseteq \mathbb{C}$. Since $w^{-1}w = 1$ it follows that $w^{-1}M_{\underline{r}} = \mathbb{C}$. In particular each non-zero $M_{\underline{r}}$ is $\mathbb{C}$. The Lemma follows. [QED].

(5.2) Theorem Let V be irreducible integrable models for τ with finite dimensional weight spaces. Let k be as defined in Theorem (4.5). Assume $k = n - 1$ and $K_n \neq 0$. Then V is isomorphic to $V(\overline{\psi})$ as defined in Example (4.2).

Proof First note that $t^x K_i = 0$ for all $\underline{r}$ and $1 \leq i \leq n - 1$ and $t^x K_n = 0$ for all $\underline{r}$ such that $r_n \neq 0$. Write

(5.3) $\tau = N^- \otimes A_{n-1} \oplus \mathring{h} \otimes A_{n-1} \oplus \sum_{r_n=0} t^{\underline{r}} K_n \oplus D + \mathcal{Z}' \oplus N^+ \otimes A_{n-1}$ where $\mathcal{Z}'$ is spanned by $t^{\underline{r}} K_i$ for $1 \leq i \leq n-1$ for all $\underline{r}$ and $t^{\underline{r}} K_i$ for all $\underline{r}$ such that $r_n \neq 0$. We have $N^- \oplus \mathring{h} \oplus \mathbb{C}K_n \oplus \mathbb{C}d_n \oplus N^+ = \mathcal{G}_{af} = \mathring{\mathcal{G}} \otimes \mathbb{C}[t_n, t_n^{-1}] \oplus \mathbb{C}K_n \oplus \mathbb{C}d_n$. We have already noted that $\mathcal{Z}'$ acts trivially on V . By Lemma 2.3 (5) we know that K_n acts by an integer. By an automorphism we can assume that K_n acts by a positive integer. Now by Proposition (2.4) we get a weight vector v in V such that

(5.4) $N^- \otimes A_{n-1} v = 0$. Let $\underline{h}''$ be the abelian Lie-algebra spanned by $\mathring{h} \otimes A_{n-1} \oplus \sum_{r_n=0} t^{\underline{r}} K_n$. Here $A_{n-1} = \mathbb{C}[t_1^{\pm}, \dots, t_{n-1}^{\pm}]$. Let M be a $\underline{h}'' \oplus D$ -module generated by v .

Claim M is irreducible $\underline{h}'' \oplus D$ -module. Let w be a weight vector of M . Since V is irreducible there exists $X \in U(\mathring{\mathcal{G}}_A \oplus \mathcal{Z}')$ such that $Xw = v$. By PBW theorem

$$X = X_- H X_+ \text{ where}$$

$X_+ \in U(N^+ \otimes A_{n-1})$, $X_- \in U(N^- \otimes A_{n-1})$ and $H \in U(\underline{h}'')$ from (5.3). D and $\mathcal{Z}'$ does not appear as w is a weight vector and $\mathcal{Z}'$ acts trivially. But $Yv = w$ for some $Y \in U(\underline{h}'')$ we can see that $N^+ \otimes A_{n-1} Yv = 0$. Thus $X_+ w = 0$, which means X_+ cannot appear and by weight reasons X_- cannot appear. Thus $X = H$ which belongs to $U(\underline{h}'')$. This proves the claim.

Since v is a weight vector, D acts by scalar and hence $M = U(\underline{h}'')v$. So we have M a $\mathbb{Z}^{n-1}$ graded irreducible module for $\underline{h}''$. Thus $M \cong U(\underline{h}'')/I$ for some graded Ideal I . Since $\underline{h}''$ is abelian M is $\mathbb{Z}^{n-1}$ graded simple commutative algebra. Now by Lemma (5.1), M is isomorphic to a subalgebra of A_{n-1} . Let $\bar{\psi} : U(\underline{h}'') \rightarrow M = A_{\bar{\psi}} \subseteq A_{n-1}$ be the quotient map which $\mathbb{Z}^{n-1}$ graded. By Proposition 3.3, we have $V \cong V(\bar{\psi})$. As earlier $\psi = E(1) \circ \bar{\psi}$ where $E(1) t^{\underline{m}} = 1$.

$h' = \overset{\circ}{h} \oplus \mathbb{C}K_n$ and identify $t^{\underline{m}}K_n$ as $K_n \otimes t^{\underline{m}}(m_n = 0)$. Thus $h' \otimes A_{n-1} = \overset{\circ}{h} \otimes A_{n-1} \oplus \sum_{m_n=0} \mathbb{C}t^{\underline{m}}K_n$. From Proposition (3.20) we have

$$\psi(h \otimes t^{\underline{m}}) = \sum_{j=0}^N a_{IJ}^{\underline{m}} \lambda_j(h) \text{ for } h \in h'$$

and $\underline{m} \in \mathbb{Z}^{n-1}$. Now extend each λ_j to h that is give some value to $\lambda_j(d_n)$ such that $\psi(d_n) = \sum \lambda_j(d_n)$. It is well known that the irreducible integrable highest weight module $V(\lambda_j)$ for $\mathcal{G}_{af}$ are all isomorphic for various values of $\lambda_j(d_n)$. (see [K]). Now $\otimes_{j=1}^N V(\lambda_j) \cong V(\psi)$ being the unique irreducible module for the highest weight ψ . [QED].

Remark (5.5) We need to consider more general modules than in [E3] where center acts trivially like in our Example (4.1). Nevertheless we proved that an irreducible integrable module (not graded) $\overset{\circ}{\mathcal{G}}_A$ is actually a module for $\overset{\circ}{\mathcal{G}} \otimes A/I$ (Lemma (1.2) and Proposition (2.1) of [E3]) where I is a cofinite ideal of A generated by polynomials with distinct roots. But our lemma 3.11 (b) says that $\overset{\circ}{\mathcal{G}} \otimes A/I \cong \oplus \overset{\circ}{\mathcal{G}}$. Thus in this case all irreducible integrable modules with finite dimensional weight spaces for $\overset{\circ}{\mathcal{G}}_A$ are given in Example (4.1).

Acknowledgements I record my sincere thanks to Fields Institute's (Toronto) hospitality during the fall of 2000 where some of the work has been done. I also thank V. Futorny for bringing into my notice the reference [F].

References

- [BB] Berman, S. and Billig, Y. *Irreducible representations for toroidal Lie-algebras*. Journal of Algebra, 221, 188-231 (1999).
- [BC] Berman, S and Cox, B. *Enveloping Algebras and Representations of toroidal Lie-algebras*. Pacific Journal of Mathematics, 165 (2), 239-267 (1994).
- [BGK] Berman, S., Gao, Y., Krylyuk, Y.: *Quantum tori and the structure of elliptic quasi-simple Lie-Algebras*, Journal of Functional Analysis, 135, 339-389 (1996).
- [C] Chari, V. *Integrable representations of Affine Lie-algebras*. Invent Math. 85, 317-335 (1986).
- [CP] Chari, V. and Pressley, A.N. *New Unitary Representations of Loop Groups*. Math. Ann. 275, 87-104 (1986).
- [E1] Eswara Rao, S. *Iterated Loop Modules and a filtration for Vertex Representation of toroidal Lie-algebras*. Pacific Journal of Mathematics, 171 (2), 511-528. (1995).
- [E2] Eswara Rao, S. *Classification of Loop Modules with finite dimensional weight spaces*. Math. Ann. 305, 651-663 (1996).
- [E3] Eswara Rao, S. *Classification of irreducible integrable modules for multi-loop algebras with finite dimensional weight spaces*. Journal of Algebra, 246, 215-225 (2001).
- [E4] Eswara Rao, S. *A generalization of irreducible modules for toroidal Lie-algebras* TIFR preprint (2000).

- [EM] Eswara Rao, S and Moody, R.V. *Vertex representations for n -toroidal Lie-algebras and a Generalization of the Virasoro Algebra*, Communications of Mathematical Physics, 159, 239-264 (1994).
- [F] Futorny, V. *Representations of affine Lie-algebras*, Queen's papers in Pure and Applied Mathematics, (1997).
- [H] Humphreys, J.E. *Introduction to Lie-algebras and representations theory*. Springer, Berlin, Heidelberg, New York (1972).
- [K] Kac, V. *Infinite dimensional Lie-algebras*, Cambridge University Press, Third Edition (1990).
- [MEY] Moody, R.V., Eswara Rao, S. Yokomuma, T. *Toroidal Lie-algebra and Vertex Representations*, Geom, Ded., 35, 283-307 (1990).
- [MS] Moody, R.V., Shi, Z. *Toroidal Weyl groups*, Nova Journal of Algebra and Geometry 1, 317-337 (1992).
- [YY] Youngsun Yoon, *On the polynomial representations of Current Algebras*, Yale Prepprint (2001).

School of Mathematics
Tata Institute of Fundamental Research
Homi Bhabha Road
Mumbai 400 005
India

e-mail: senapati@math.tifr.res.in